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| 37-5.1 | To consider the modification in Clause 9.6.2 in PG Regulation regarding <br> thesis evaluation by the board (proposed by Prof. Y. P. Mathur). |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 7 - 5 . 2}$ | To consider the matter regarding panel of examiner from outside of India for <br> Ph.D. Thesis (proposed by Prof. Y. P. Mathur). |  |
| $\mathbf{3 7 - 5 . 3}$ | To consider the proposal received from the department of Mathematics and <br> Physics to review/develop syllabi/curricula of PG courses. |  |

The minutes of the $36^{\text {th }}$ meeting of the Senate were circulated to all the members after approval of the Chairman Senate for information. No Comments were received on the minutes.

The Senate may kindly confirm the minutes (placed at Annexure-A, Pg. 12-26).
Item No. 37.2.0 : To note the "Action Taken" on the decisions taken in the $\mathbf{3 6}^{\text {th }}$ meeting of the Senate.

The "Action Taken Report" on the $36^{\text {th }}$ meeting of the Senate is placed at Annexure-B (Pg. 27-32) for consideration of the Senate.

Item No. 37-3-0 : Items for consideration.
Item No. 37-3.1 : To consider the list of the students eligible for award of degree in UG, PG and Ph.D. programmes in the $\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ Annual Convocation-2017.

The list of students eligible for award of degree in UG, PG and Ph.D. programme in the $12^{\text {th }}$ Annual Convocation-2017 is placed at Annexure-C. (UG-Pg. 33-51, PG-Pg. 5278 \& Ph.D.-Pg. 79-82).

The list is placed before the Senate for its approval.
Item No. 37-3.2 : To consider the names of the students for award of Gold Medals in the respective UG and PG programmes in the $\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ Annual Convocation-2017.

Based on the highest CGPA attained by the students (who graduated in the Academic Year 2016-17 in each programme), the names of the students eligible for the award of Gold Medals in UG \& PG programmes in $12^{\text {th }}$ Annual Convocation 2017 is placed at Annexure-D (UG-Pg. 83 \& PG-Pg. 84).

The list is placed before the Senate for its approval.
Item No. 37-3.3 : To consider the names of student representative in the Senate.

As per first statute of NITs clause 8 (xiv) two students' representatives should be invited during discussion of general nature in Senate meeting. In this regard, as per rules it is proposed Ms. Sakshi Choudhary (2014UEC1048), highest CGPA in UG among all branches (CGPA 9.76 up to B.Tech. third year) and Mr. Saurabh Sarkar (2016PEC5186), highest CGPA among all branches (CGPA 9.86 up to M.Tech. First Year) are placed for nomination as Student representatives in Senate list is placed at Annexure-E (UG-Pg. 85 \& PG-Pg. 86).

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.
Item No. 37-3.4 : To consider the matter regarding reservation in higher education institutions special provision for persons with benchmark disabilities in light of Rights of persons with disabilities Act, 2016 for admission in this Institute for UG, PG and Ph.D. programmes.

The Rights of persons with disabilities Act, 2016 has been passed by the Parliament on
$28^{\text {th }}$ December, 2016 under chapter VI-Special provisions for persons with benchmark disabilities at page No. 13 clause "32.(1) All Government institutions of higher education and other higher education institutions receiving aid from the Government shall reserve not less than five percent seats for persons with benchmark disabilities. (2) The persons with benchmark disabilities shall be given an upper age relaxation of five years for admission in institutions of higher education" (placed at Annexure F (Pg. 87-90).

The matter is placed before the Senate for its direction. A committee may be constituted to review the present seat matrix for admission UG, PG and Ph.D. programme. At present Institute follow 3\% reservation for Person with disabilities. In light of this the Act is to strictly comply with provision of the Act.

Submitted for consideration and direction.
Item No. 37-3.5 : To consider the format for academic transcript \& consolidated grade sheet.
Given the increasing demand of the students for providing single page consolidated Grade Sheets or Academic Transcripts which can be accepted globally by universities, the format for the same has been developed by the Academic Section.

Fee required to prerequisite of Academic Transcripts may also be charged on consolidated Grade Sheets. Academic Transcripts, as well as consolidated grade sheet may only be provided whenever a student demands it.

Academic Transcripts and consolidated Grade sheet are attached herewith placed at Annexure-G (Pg. 91-94).

Matter is placed before the Senate for consideration and directions.
Item No. 37-3.6 : $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { To consider the list of students of } \text { M. Tech./M.Plan./MBA for termination from } \\ & \\ & \text { Institute rolls due to poor performance in Even Semester 2016-17. }\end{aligned}$
As per the guidelines stipulated in the PG regulation for promotion to the next semester of the M. Tech/M.Plan./MBA programs, a student is required to obtain a minimum CPGA of 5.5 in each semester. The list of such students who have failed to attain the required CGPA during even semester academic year 2015-16 and have been terminated from their respective program is placed as Annexure-H (Pg. 95-96).

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.

## Item No. 37-3.7 To consider the termination of the Ph.D. students due to poor performance and non-registration from Institute rolls.

As per the guidelines stipulated in the PG regulation clause 8 sub-clause $5 \& 6$, "a student will normally not be allowed to continue in the $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$ programme if she/he does not have CGPA of at least of 7.0". Mr. Shanu (2015REE9534) and Mr. Vikas Kumar (2016RME9519) have failed to attain the required CGPA.
Mr. Anil Dutt Vyas (2012RCE9503) Part-Time Ph.D student has applied for one year semester break from January 2017 but the DPGC of the concerned department declined the request with following justification:
(i) He has met the coursework requirement and passed comprehensive examination
on May $29^{\text {th }} 2014$ and since then he has accumulated 5 Xs in his progress reports of $4^{\text {th }}$ to $7^{\text {th }}$ semester.
(ii) He has not submitted progress report for $8^{\text {th }}$ Semester (July 2016 to December 2016)
(iii) He has not registered for $9^{\text {th }}$ semester (January 2017 to June 2017).

The SPGB declined the request of Semester withdrawal of one year of Mr. Anil Dutt Vyas with reference to P.G Regulation 5.4. The SPGB in its $37^{\text {th }}$ meeting held on 20-07-2017 recommended the termination of above three aforesaid Ph.D students.

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval of the SPGB recommendation for termination.

## Item No. 37-3.8 : To consider matter of UG students for termination from Institute rolls who have not reported and registered in the Institute for more than 2 Semesters.

As per the guidelines stipulated in the clause $8 \& 14$ of UG regulation 2012:-
Registration is a very important procedural part of the academic system. All students are required to register in person for each semester for the courses to be pursued by them, as per the programme, on the dates specified in the Academic Calendar. The sole responsibility for registration rests with the student concerned. A student is required to register in person each semester for the courses that he/she intends to pursue in that semester.

Academic Section sent letters and email to the all inactive students on ERP and also sent to the parents, in case of non adherence to the instructions, action as deemed fit as per the guidelines stipulated in UG Rules \& Regulations No. 14 would be initiated against you for termination from Institute rolls.

List of students (placed at Annexure I (Pg. 97-100) who have failed to report and register by the last date of registration more than two semesters, names of the students may be removed/terminated from Institute rolls.

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval for remove/terminate from Institute rolls.

## Item No. 37-3.9 To consider the increasing Female enrollment in B. Tech. programmes in NITs and IIET, Shibpur.

As per MHRD letter No. 35-8/2017-TS.III dated $30^{\text {th }}$ October 2017 regarding increasing Female enrollment in B. Tech. programmes in NITs and IIET, Shibpur (letter placed at Annexure-J (Pg. 101-102). A study has already been conducted by the IITs and the recommendations have also been accepted by the Council of IITs for improving the gender balance in undergraduate programmes in IITs from the academic year 2018-19 onwards, therefore, it has been decided to adopt the following course of action to improve girl's enrolment in NITs and IIEST, Shibpur.
(i) The number of male students admitted will not be reduced, unless the overall performance of male candidates in JEE (Main) declines vis-à-vis female candidates.
(ii) Any female candidate who would have got a seat prior to this scheme will get the same or a more preferred seat with this scheme
(iii) Rank-based merit shall be strictly followed within the pool of male candidates and within the pool of female candidates.
(iv) Statutory reservation for Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), Other Backward Classes (OBCs) and for Physically Handicapped (PH) categories will be applicable in the supernumerary seats in the same manner as for the sanctioned seats.
(v) The Central Seat Allocation Board/Joint Seat Allocation Authority will work of the business rules and detailed procedure for implementing the same.
(vi) Increase in female enrolment in B. Tech. progammes of NITs \& IIEST at the levels prescribed for IITs i.e. from the current to $14 \%$ in 2018-19, 17\% in 2019-20 and $20 \%$ in 2020-21 by creating supernumerary seats in NITs \& IIEST.

Matter is placed before the Senate for consideration and directions.
Item No. 37-3.10 To consider the report of Sub Committee of National Institutes of Technology, Science Education and Research (NITSER) Council to study Kakodkar Committee's Report on 'Teaching' and suggest recommendations for implementation.

The Council accepted the recommendations of the Teaching Sub-Committee of standing committee of the Council of NITSER for "Teaching" and suggestion recommendation for implementation. The report accepted by the council is placed at Annexure K (Pg. 103-111) for adoption of the same by the Senate of MNIT before adoption of BoG, MNIT Jaipur.

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.
Item No. 37-3.11 To consider the recommendation of curricula review committee of National Institutes of Technology, Science Education and Research (NITSER) Council as model guidelines.

The Council adopted the recommendation of curricula review committee as model guidelines, which may be adopted by the NITs and IIEST. The Council desired that Its/IIEST should review its curricula every year and revise the same every three years, by adding latest topic in consultation with industry. The recommendations of the Curricula Review Committee as approved by the Council as model guidelines are placed at Annexure-L (Pg. 103-105 \& 112-324 by E-mail) for adoption of the same by the Senate of MNIT before adoption of BoG, MNIT Jaipur.

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.
Item No. 37-3.12 To consider the recommendation of committee of National Institutes of Technology, Science Education and Research (NITSER) Council on Choice Based Credit System Committee (CBCS).

NIT Council adopted of the recommendation of Dr. D. B. Phatak Committee on Choice Based Credit System. All NITs have adopted CBCS, and flexibility to accommodate student's choices is provided in different forms and to varied extents. The report lists various types of choices that can be offered in the Academic structure of NITs, and makes concrete recommendations for offering such courses. The report also suggests an assessment mechanism to determine the extent of flexibility offered by actual implementation in an Institute. Report is placed at Annexure-M (Pg. 103-105 \& 325335) for adoption of same by the Senate of MNIT before adoption of BoG, MNIT Jaipur

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.
Item No. 37-3.13 To consider the recommendation of Committee of the Council of National Institutes of Technology, Science Education and Research (NITSER) Trainee Teacher Scheme.

In the $9^{\text {th }}$ meeting of NIT Council, it was decided to amend the Trainee Teacher Scheme, to provide for M. Tech and Ph.D. from NITs/IIEST, alongwith IITs. NIT Council adopted recommendation for Trainee Teacher Scheme. Report is placed at Annexure-N (Pg. 103-105 \& 336-338) for adoption of same by the Senate of MNIT before adoption of BoG, MNIT Jaipur

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.
Item No. 37-3.14 To consider the recommendation of Material Research Center for Ms. Divija Pandel (2017RMR9001) for maternity leave in her $I^{\text {st }}$ semester.

Ms. Divija Pandel (2017RMR9001) admitted in PhD program on $20^{\text {th }}$ July 2017 and submitted application for maternity leave. As per PG regulation clause 5.4 (semester leave) on medical considerations (including maternity) such leave may be sanctioned only after his/her stay of one semester.

The matter was discussed in the $38^{\text {th }}$ meeting of SPGB held on $16^{\text {th }}$ November 2017 under item No. 38-2.3. SPGB discussed the matter in details and recommends it for consideration of senate with review of the policy on such cases.
Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.
Item No. 37-3.15 To consider the modified recommendation of the committee constituted to prepare guidelines for the admission of foreigners/NRI/PIO/OIC in PG and Ph.D.

A committee constituted by the SPGB to prepare guidelines for the admission of foreigners/NRI/PIO/OIC in PG (M.Tech./M/Sc/MBA) and Ph.D. The modified recommendation of the committee for above admission was placed before the $38^{\text {th }}$ meeting of SPGB held on $16^{\text {th }}$ November 2017 under item No. 38-2.6. SPGB approved the recommendations of the committee and directed that it may be placed as an agenda item for the Senate meeting, modified recommendation of the committee is placed at Annexure-O (Pg. 339).

Recommendation of the committee is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.

Item No. 37-3.16 To consider the recommendation of the committee constituted to recommend changes in Ph.D. admission process.

This committee was constituted to recommend changes in Ph.D. admission process. as per the recommendation in $37^{\text {th }}$ meeting of SPGB under item No. 32-2.21. Recommendation of committee was discussed in the $38^{\text {th }}$ meeting of SPGB held on $16^{\text {th }}$ November 2017 vide Item No. 38-2.7. SPGB approved the recommendations of the committee formed by SPGB to recommend changes in Ph.D. admission process in future placed at Annexure-P (Pg. 340-341) .

Recommendation of the committee is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.

In its $37^{\text {th }}$ meeting, the SPGB constituted a committee of Head of Departments of Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry and Humanities \& Social Sciences to discuss credit of course Dynamics of Communication (HST501).The committee unanimously decided that in order to maintain uniformity in the academic credits the course Dynamics of Communication (HST501) be modified to 3credits course instead of present 2 credit course. The structure would now be L-2,T-1, P-0 and the course would be suitably modified to cater to the additional credit by the Course Coordinator. The course will function as a core course in the M.Sc. Program.
The matter was discussed in the 38th meeting of SPGB held on $16^{\text {th }}$ November 2017 vide Item No. 38-2.11. SPGB approved the recommendations and directed that it may be placed as an agenda item for the Senate.

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.
Item No. 37-3.18 To consider modification in clause 9.3 Publications Arising out of Ph.D. of PG Rules \& Regulations.

As per the clause 9.3 of PG regulation states that "Before any thesis is approved for submission by DREC and DPGC, it should be ensured that the work done has been sufficiently peer reviewed. It is required that at least, one paper should have been published (or accepted) in a reputed research journal or two papers in peer reviewed reputed conferences. The candidate shall produce evidence for the same in the form of acceptance letter or reprints and/or reviewers comments.
The matter was discussed in the $38^{\text {th }}$ meeting of SPGB held on $16^{\text {th }}$ November 2017 vide Item No. 38-2.16. SPGB recommended the proposed modification in the clause 9.3 of PG regulations for consideration by senate as under:-
"Before any thesis is approved for submission by DREC and DPGC, it should be ensured that the work done has been sufficiently peer reviewed. It is required that at least, one paper should have been published (or accepted) in the ESCI/SSCI/SCI/SCOPUS or two papers in peer reviewed ESCI/SSCI/SCI/SCOPUS conferences. The candidate shall produce evidence for the same in the form of acceptance letter or reprints and/or reviewers comments."

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and approval.

## Item No. 37-4-0 : Items for Ratification.

Item No. 37-4.1 : To report the minutes of $28^{\text {th }}$ meeting of SUGB held on November 16, 2017.
The $28^{\text {th }}$ meeting of Senate Undergraduate Board was held on November 16, 2017.
The minutes of the SUGB are placed at Annexure - Q (Pg. 342-348) for ratification.
Item No. 37-4.2 : To report the minutes of $37^{\text {th }}$ meeting of SPGB held on July 20, 2017.
The $37^{\text {th }}$ meeting of Senate Postgraduate Board was held on July 20, 2017.
The minutes of the SPGB are placed at Annexure - R (Pg. 349-361) for ratification.

The $38^{\text {th }}$ meeting of Senate Postgraduate Board was held on November 16, 2017.
The minutes of the SPGB are placed at Annexure - S (Pg. 362-380) for ratification.
Item No. 37-4.4 : To receive note of the list of students admitted in undergraduate, postgraduate and doctoral programmes during the session 2017-18.

The details of the students who have taken admission in UG/PG and Ph.D. programmes in MNIT is as under :-

| S. No. | Programme | Number of students Admitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Undergraduate | CSAB DASA-CIWG DASA-Non Saarc DASA-Saarc MEA-Wel |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 705 | 13 |  | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| 2 | Postgraduate | $\begin{gathered} \text { CCMT } \\ 364 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { SP } & \text { PT } \\ 13 & 17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MBA } \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCMN } \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 3 | Ph.D. |  |  |  | 85 |  |  |

The detailed list of the students is placed at Annexure-T (Pg. UG 381-404, PG-405420 \& Ph.D.- 421-423).

The Senate may kindly note the details.
Item No. 37-4.5 : To note the Academic Calendar for odd and even semester for academic year 2017-18.

The academic calendar for odd and even semester 2017-18 is placed at Annexure- U (Pg. 424-425).

Item No. 37-4.6 : To note the revision of fee structure of Non Degree student Category I (Indian) \& II (Foreign) without MoU who come to MNIT Jaipur for some project/research work for some specific period as non-degree students.

A committee constituted for the students who come to MNIT Jaipur for some project/research work for some specific period as non-degree students held on July 21, 2016 committee decided the following:

The fee of non degree students who come to MNIT for some project/research work/internship is proposed to be revised. Presently applicable fee structure enclosed at Annexure-V (Pg. 426-427)
The committee discussed and recommend to charge Rs. 20,000/- from each student as a Training/Project guidance fee and facility charges for a period of one semester. The student will also deposit onetime refundable caution money of Rs. $10,000 /-$ which will be refunded after submission of No Dues certificate. If student requests to continue his/her internship or project work for more than one semester, he will pay Rs. 20,000/for each semester. He/she cannot claim for any credit/degree from the institute. He/she will get certificate for entire duration from the host supervisor only. All other conditions shall remain as per office order No. Academic/MNIT/2015; dated May 11, 2015, placed at Annexure-W (Pg. 428-431). Recommendation of the committee has already been approved by Chairman Senate.

Matter is placed before the Senate for ratification.

## Item No. 37-4.7 : To note the revision of fee for CIWG category of students under Direct Admission of Student Abroad (DASA).

The tuition fee of DASA-CIWG students was decided US $\$ 8000$ per year by the fee revision committee on the basis of admission brochure DASA-2017. The matter of tuition fee structure for the students belonging to Children of Indian Workers in the Gulf (CIWG) category has been reviewed by the Ministry. The competent authority has decided that the students belonging to CIWG category and taking admissions in the undergraduate programmes of the National Institute of Technology (NITs) under the Direct Admission of Students Abroad (DASA) Scheme will pay tuition fee of Rs. $1,25,000 /-$ per student per annum either in Indian Rupees (INR) or equivalent US Dollars at existing exchange rate vide MHRD letter No. F. No. 41-12/2017-TS.III dated $14^{\text {th }}$ June, 2017, placed at Annexure-X (Pg. 432).

Accordingly, the fee was revised and charged from the students admitted under CIWG category under DASA Scheme during 2017-18 after approval of the Director/Chairman Senate.

Matter is placed before the Senate for ratification.
Item No. 37-4.8 : To note special permission for writing end term examination during supplementary examination on medical ground.

As per approval of competent authority, Mr. Deshmukh Nagraj Shridhar (ID No. 2016UEE1361) was permitted to write the end term examination during supplementary exam on medical ground vide order No. F. 4 (N) 3/Acdm/NBUT/2014/2593 dated $01^{\text {st }}$ June 2017, order placed at Annexure-Y (Pg. 433).

Matter is placed before the Senate for ratification.
Item No. 37-4.9 : To note the special permission given to Final year External Viva-Voce exam for "Thesis Project" of Architecture \& Planning Department.

Request received from the students of final year B. Architecture \& Planning department to appear in external viva-voce exam in ARD506 Thesis Project, duly recommended by the DUGC Convener \& HOD Architecture \& Planning Department. As per the approval of Chairman Senate, permission was granted to appear in "Final Year External Viva-Voce Exam" as a special case for all the students who wish to appear for this exam order placed at Annexure-Z (Pg. 434).

Matter is placed before the Senate for ratification.

Item No. 37-4.10 : To report the matter regarding payment of fellowship to research scholars in nonscience subjects at the UGC rates subject to fulfilling the UGC-NET qualifications by them.

The committee constituted vides office order No MNIT/Acad/2017/2713 dated June 06, 2017 regarding payment of fellowship to research scholars in non-science subjects at the UGC rates subject to fulfilling the UGC-NET qualifications. The Committee deliberated on the matter regarding eligibility for grant of Institute fellowship/scholarship for the Ph.D. students registered in the Department of Management Studies as well as Department of Humanities \& Social Sciences and recommended as follows, minutes of the meeting of the committee is placed at Annexure-Z-1 (Pg. 435).

1. Each faculty of Department of Management Studies as well as Department of Humanities \& Social Sciences can take one student with Institute fellowship/assistantship.
2. Eligibility for getting Institute fellowship/assistantship will remain UGC-NET qualification for award of research fellowship.
3. The faculty can engage next student with Institute fellowship/assistantship only after the candidate has submitted his/her thesis.

Recommendation of the committee at point No. 1 "each faculty of Department of Management Studies as well as Department of Humanities \& Social Sciences can take one student with Institute fellowship/assistantship" has been implemented from Odd Semester 2017 after approval of the Chairman Senate.

Matter is placed before the Senate for ratification.

## Item No. 37-4.11 : To report the name of new three external Senate members nominated by the Chairperson, Board of Governors, MNIT Jaipur.

The external members of the Senate have been nominated for the period of three years, as the existing members completed more than 5 years. The nomination has been made as per Section 14(d) of NIT Act 2007 "three persons, one of whom shall be woman, not being employees of the Institute, to be nominated by the Chairperson in consultation with the Director, form amongst educationists of repute, one each from the field of science, engineering and humanities;' details are as under:
(i) Prof. N. C. Shivaprakash, Department of Instrumentation and Applied Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore - 560012
(ii) Prof. Hema A. Murthy, Department of CS, IIT Madras, Beside Adyar Cancer Institute, Opposite to C.L.R.I, Sardar Patel Road, Adyar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600036
(iii) Prof. Lakshman Nandagiri, Department of Applied Mechanics and Hydraulics, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal Srinivasnagar, Mangalore - 575025.

Matter is placed before the Senate for information.

## Item No. 37-4.12 : To report the cases of inactive student to allow semester withdrawal as a one-time special case of pending registration.

As per the guidelines stipulated in the clause 8 of UG regulation 2012:-
Registration is a very important procedural part of academic system. All students are required to register in person for each semester for the courses to be pursued by them, as per the programme, on the dates specified in the Academic Calendar. The sole responsibility for registration rests with the student concerned. A student is required to register in person each semester for the courses that he/she intends to pursue in that semester.

Academic Section sent notice letters and email to the all inactive students on ERP and also sent to the parents, in case of non adherence to the instructions, action as deemed
fit as per the guidelines stipulated in UG Rules \& Regulation No. 14 would be initiated against you for termination from Institute roll. In response to the notice, following three students have submitted an application for continuing study in MNIT Jaipur.
(1) Mr. Matadeen Meena (2010UEC193) has completed $7^{\text {th }}$ Years and earned total 108 credits out of 180 . He has to earn 72 credits in the last one year. If approved, he has to deposit Institute fee for IX Semester to XIV of Rs. 54000/- without late fee.
(2) Mr. Karaka Venkata Sive Pratap (2014UMT1673) admitted in 2014-15 and earned 19 credits in first year. In case of approval for registration he has to start from first year afresh and has to deposit Institute fee for III to VI Semester of Rs. 53200/without late fee.
(3) Mr. Vikram Kr. Sharma (2012UMT1519) has earned 137 credits out of 200 credits in the last 5 years. In case of approval he has to deposit Institute fee for VII to X Semester of Rs. 36000/- with late fee, however, he has not registered.

Approval was granted by the Chairman Senate to allow Semester withdraws as a onetime special cases of pending registration to the above three students during the Odd Semester 2017-18.

Matter is placed before the Senate for information.

## Item No. 37-4.13 To report the correction made in the rules passed by the Senate $35^{\text {th }}$ meeting regarding amendments in UG Rules \& Regulations.

As per 2(a) if a student chose year back option after $1^{\text {st }}$ year due to the minimum requirement of credits which is 30 then, his/her credits earned and semester registered will be carried over. In this case, it is observed that the rule is not clear about registration of courses. With permission of Chairman Senate now students are allowed to register upto DD grades and the latest grade earned will be counted in his/her CGPA earned. ERP status for such courses will be reflected in transcripts/grade sheet as 'REP' for FA/FP course and 'IMP' for courses of DD grades. The minimum credit requirement is not applicable for such cases.

As per amendments the grades for Project was reported mistakenly in amendment rules, the rules regarding letter grades for Project remain as per the decision taken in 26-3.6 Senate meeting.

Typographic error: As per Rule 5, a course in which FF is awarded is eligible for supplementary examination will be read as a course in which FP is awarded is eligible for supplementary examination

Item No. 37-4.14 To report the case of Ms. Ritambra Singh (2016UAR1058) re-admission in the B. Architecture programme after withdrawal from the Institute.

Ms. Ritambra Singh (2016UAR1058) had applied to withdraw from the B. Arch. programme. On her request competent authority permitted to Institute withdrawal and migration cum character certificate had been issued to her.

Now on 12-09-2017 she again submitted an application alongwith the Medial certificate, in which she has requested for joining the semester again and request to give her opportunity to resume her course.

On the her request, as per approval granted by the Chairman Senate on the appeal of Ms. Ritambra Singh for Re-admission in B. Arch. program in Department of

Architecture \& Planning. She is permitted for re-admission in same semester in the Institute on Medical Ground. Migration cum Character certificate No. Academic/MNIT/2015 dated 14-08-2017 is hereby withdrawn.

## Item No. 37-5.0 : Any other items with permission of chair. <br> Item No. 37-5.1 : To consider the modification in Clause 9.6.2 in PG Regulation regarding thesis evaluation by the board (proposed by Prof. Y. P. Mathur).

Present regulations regarding thesis evaluation by the board is as given in 9.6.2, reproduced below

## "9.6.2 PH.D. THESIS EVALUATION BY THE THESIS BOARD

1. After the synopsis has been submitted and the thesis board is constituted by Chairman Senate, the Dean Academics will send a confidential letter/E-Mail to each approved member of the thesis board enclosing a copy of the synopsis and requesting him/her to serve as an examiner for the thesis preferably using electronic media. If either of the examiner refuses or no response is received PG Regulations, MNIT Jaipur 2011-12 Page 43 of 60 for four weeks (with a reminder being sent after two weeks), then steps will be taken to appoint another examiner.
2. On receipt of the acceptance of examiner-ship a copy of the thesis along with a thesis evaluation form (annexure III) for the examiner's report will be sent to each examiner preferably using electronic media or as desired by the examiner.
3. In case Dean Academics is the supervisor of the student concerned, above processing (detailed in paras 1 and 2) will be done by the Chairperson, SPGB.
4. In case Chairman SPGB is the supervisor of the student concerned, above processing (detailed in paras 1 and 2) will be done by the Chairman SUGB.
5. In case Chairman Senate is the supervisor of the student concerned, above processing (detailed in paras 1 and 2 ) will be done by the Dean Academics.
6. When an examiner's report is received, the Dean Academics will place it in one of the following categories.
i) Category I: If an examiner approves the thesis but suggests corrections regarding punctuation, grammar, spelling or language, minor technical mistakes, raises small queries the supervisor(s) can use their discretion regarding incorporation of such suggestions and the same will be incorporated in the thesis to the satisfaction of the oral board.
ii) Category II: If an examiner points out errors other than those in (i) above, raises queries or suggests modifications then the student's response to the above, including any modification(s), would be submitted to the Dean Academic in both soft and hard copy within 6 months from the date of communication in this regard. Dean Academic would send it to the examiner concerned for his final recommendation on acceptance/non acceptance of the thesis. If the examiner's response is not received within 4 weeks, a reminders will be sent in gap of two weeks each. If no reply is received even after two reminders within next 4 weeks time, further action will be initiated and thesis sent to another examiner in place of the current one.
iii) Category III: If an examiner outright rejects the thesis or raises technical points or suggests major modifications his comments would be communicated to the supervisor for further processing. The supervisor will communicate to the Dean, Academic, the changes made in the thesis along with explanatory note, which would be sent to the examiner concerned. If the examiner's response is not received within 4 weeks, reminders will be sent in gap of two weeks each. If no reply is received even after two reminders within next 4 weeks time, further action will be initiated and thesis sent to another examiner in place of the current one. "UNQUOTE.

Problem has been faced when an examiner suggests major/minor modifications and requests that the PhD thesis be submitted back to him/her for final evaluation and when thesis id ress submitted back the examiner do not respond within the stipulated time limits. The regulation 9.6 .2 point 6 (ii) and 6 (iii) stipulates that in this case the thesis be sent to another examiner. It leads to unnecessary delay. The part of the paras,
" If no reply is received even after two reminders within next 4 weeks time, further action will be initiated and thesis sent to another examiner in place of the current one. " be replaced with.
"If no reply is received even after two reminders within next 4 weeks time, the thesis may be deemed to have been accepted by the examiner."

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and direction.

## Item No. 37-5.2 : To consider the matter regarding panel of examiner from outside of India for Ph.D. Thesis (proposed by Prof. Y. P. Mathur).

As per the format for suggesting names of the PhD examiner's, 6 names from within India and six names outside India needs to be suggested.
The following clause may be added:
"Compulsion of including 6 names from outside India may be waved by Chairman Senate if the list of examiners is to the satisfaction of Chairman Senate and the evaluation process is not diluted."

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration and direction.

## Item No. 37-5.3 To consider the proposal received from the department of Mathematics and Physics to review/develop syllabi/curricula of PG courses.

The syllabi/curriculum have been developed by the respective department after conducting the curriculum workshop were circulated and the changes suggested thereof were incorporated.
The matter was placed before the SPGB for policy directions in its $37^{\text {th }}$ meeting held on $16^{\text {th }}$ November 2017. The SPGB recommended them to be put up before the Senate for approval.

Matter is placed before the Senate for its consideration \& approval.

