

### MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY **JAIPUR-302017**

### AGENDA FOR THE FORTY-THIRD SENATE MEETING

**Meeting Number : FORTY-THIRD** 

Venue

: NKN-1 (Online)

Date

: 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2021 (Tuesday)

Time

: 12.00 Noon



The 42 <sup>nd</sup> Senate meeting was held on September 9 <sup>th</sup> , 2021. The draft minutes o meeting were circulated to all the Senate members. Thereafter the minutes approved by the Chairman Senate and were circulated amongst the member information. No comments were received on the minutes.  The Senate may kindly confirm the minutes placed as Annexure-A (Pg. 01 to 09).  Item No. 43-2.0  To note the "Action Taken" on the decisions taken in the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of Senate.  The "Action Taken Report" of the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Senate is placed Annexure-B (Pg. 10 to 16) for consideration of the Senate.  Item No. 43-3-0  Item No. 43-3-1  To consider the policy for change in grades once the grades are displayed.  Guidelines for the award of letter grade are presented at 9 and 10 "MNIT UG R and Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per the "A teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".  As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtaine each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including on through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grades being displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student	,	l and a second
meeting were circulated to all the Senate members. Thereafter the minutes of approved by the Chairman Senate and were circulated amongst the member information. No comments were received on the minutes. The Senate may kindly confirm the minutes placed as Annexure-A (Pg. 01 to 09).  Item No. 43-2.0  To note the "Action Taken" on the decisions taken in the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of Senate.  The "Action Taken Report" of the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Senate is placed Annexure-B (Pg. 10 to 16) for consideration of the Senate.  Item No. 43-3-0  Items for consideration.  To consider the policy for change in grades once the grades are displayed.  Guidelines for the award of letter grade are presented at 9 and 10 "MNIT UG Rand Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per the "A teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".  As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtaine each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including or through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grades have been displayed.  Students should applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the co	Item No. 43-1.0	To confirm the minutes of the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Senate held on September 9 <sup>th</sup> 2021.
Item No. 43-2.0  To note the "Action Taken" on the decisions taken in the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of Senate.  The "Action Taken Report" of the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Senate is placed Annexure-B (Pg. 10 to 16) for consideration of the Senate.  Item No. 43-3-0  Items for consideration.  To consider the policy for change in grades once the grades are displayed.  Guidelines for the award of letter grade are presented at 9 and 10 "MNIT UG R and Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per th "4 teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".  As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtaine each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including or through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grades have been displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grad any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justification: respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC		The 42 <sup>nd</sup> Senate meeting was held on September 9 <sup>th</sup> , 2021. The draft minutes of the meeting were circulated to all the Senate members. Thereafter the minutes were approved by the Chairman Senate and were circulated amongst the members for information. No comments were received on the minutes.
Senate.  The "Action Taken Report" of the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Senate is placed Annexure-B (Pg. 10 to 16) for consideration of the Senate.  Item No. 43-3-0  Items for consideration.  To consider the policy for change in grades once the grades are displayed. Guidelines for the award of letter grade are presented at 9 and 10 "MNIT UG R and Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per th "A teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".  As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtaine each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including or through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grabeing displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grad any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justification respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations.		The Senate may kindly confirm the minutes placed as Annexure-A (Pg. 01 to 09).
Item No. 43-3-0  Item No. 43-3.1  To consider the policy for change in grades once the grades are displayed. Guidelines for the award of letter grade are presented at 9 and 10 "MNIT UG R and Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per th "A teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".  As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtaine each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including or through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grabeing displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grad any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justification: respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respec degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect	Item No. 43-2.0	To note the "Action Taken" on the decisions taken in the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Senate.
Item No. 43-3.1  To consider the policy for change in grades once the grades are displayed.  Guidelines for the award of letter grade are presented at 9 and 10 "MNIT UG R and Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per th "A teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".  As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtaine each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including or through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grabeing displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should tak action on all submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grade any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justifications respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect		The "Action Taken Report" of the 42 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Senate is placed a <b>Annexure-B (Pg. 10 to 16)</b> for consideration of the Senate.
Guidelines for the award of letter grade are presented at 9 and 10 "MNIT UG R and Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per th "A teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".  As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtaine each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including on through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grabeing displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grad any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justification: respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective PUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respective Dugc/DPGC and within 7 working days of the grades being displayed.	Item No. 43-3-0	Items for consideration.
and Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per th "A teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".  As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtaine each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including or through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grabeing displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grade any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justification respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective per program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect to the program of the grades being displayed.	Item No. 43-3.1	To consider the policy for change in grades once the grades are displayed.
each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including or through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.  As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per cur practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and included the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grade being displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grade any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justifications respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respective Dean/Dean within 7 working days of the grades being displayed.		Guidelines for the award of letter grade are presented at 9 and 10 "MNIT UG Rule and Regulations" and 7.1 and 7.2 of "MNIT PG rules and regulations". As per these "A teacher is the best judge in awarding the grades".
practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.  To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the gradeing displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in gradeiny student; this should be corrected and reported with due justifications respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective Dugcyper and Dugcyper should forward its recommendations to respect degree program, Dugcyper within 7 working days of the grades being displayed.		As per the regulations, grades need to awarded on the basis of marks obtained be each student in different evaluation components and submitted (including online through ERP) in the Dean Academic office positively within the prescribed time limes as announced in the academic calendar after the End Term examination.
term examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and include the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student of the grades have been displayed.  Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the gradeing displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should take action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in gradeing any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justifications respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect Associate Dean/Dean within 7 working days of the grades being displayed.		As of now, there is no formal mechanism for any change in the grade. As per currer practice, any subsequent change in the grade can be permitted only after th request, with due justification(s), from respective course coordinator recommended favourably through DUGC/DPGC of the concerned department.
being displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should tak action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grad any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justifications respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respect Associate Dean/Dean within 7 working days of the grades being displayed.		To ensure that all grades are finalized within a certain duration after the final enterm examination, it is proposed that a formal process be defined and included in the regulations. The following is proposed for changing grade of any student one the grades have been displayed.
		Students should apply for a change in grade within three working days of the grade being displayed. Such applications (dated and signed by the student) should be justified and submitted in hard copy to the course coordinator, who should take a action on all submissions for a given subject. In case, there is a change in grade of any student; this should be corrected and reported with due justifications to respective DUGC/DPGC. After due deliberations on all such cases for the respective degree program, DUGC/DPGC should forward its recommendations to respective Associate Dean/Dean within 7 working days of the grades being displayed. An application and/or recommendation received afterwards shall not be considered.
The proposal is placed for consideration and approval of the Senate.		The proposal is placed for consideration and approval of the Senate.

#### Item No. 43-3.2

To consider the Mid-term evaluation component for lab courses.

As per "MNIT UG Rules and Regulations", evaluation of a student shall be based on his/her performance in

Class Work Sessionals (CWS) Mid-Term Examinations (MTE) and End Term Examinations (ETE) for theory course. The Class work Sessional Exams shall include quizzes, tutorials, homework assignments, term papers, seminars, surprise tests/ class tests/ MCQ tests/ Open book tests/ Group activities etc. and may be conducted by the Course Instructor/ Coordinator during the semester as per his/her course plan. Laboratory

Practical Sessionals (PRS) such as practicals, field work etc. and a Practical End Term Exam (PRE) for laboratory course.

To improve academic transparency and strengthening academic practices, a meeting of Dean and Associate Deans was held on September 2, 2021. Minutes of meeting are placed at **Annexure-C** (**Pg. 17 to 20**). After due deliberations, it was decided that

to maintain uniformity and improve seriousness towards laboratory evaluation for both undergraduate and postgraduate courses, a mid-term examination should be mandatory. A laboratory course, therefore, should be evaluated on PRS (Practical Sessionals), PRM (Practical Mid Term Exam) and PRE (Practical End Term Exam). A typical distribution of these components can be PRS: 60%, PRM: 20%, PRE: 20%.

The matter is placed before Senate for consideration and approval.

#### Item No. 43-3.3

To consider the revision in document retention policy.

To improve academic transparency and strengthening academic practices, a meeting of Dean and Associate Deans was held on September 2, 2021. Minutes of meeting are placed at **Annexure-C** (pg. 17 to 20). In view of NBA /academic audit requirements, it was decided to revise document retention policy (reference: Office Order No 83 dated 15-01-2013 in respect of Senate agenda 24-3.10) as follows

Existing provision: "As per item No 24-3.10 regarding disposal of Mid Term and End Term answer sheets and relevant documents, it was decided that Mid Term and End Term answer sheets may be destroyed after six months from the display of the grades. The time table and course files shall be retained at least for a period of 3 years. Stores section shall be responsible for disposal of above material."

#### Proposed:

- The time table and course files: these shall be retained at least for a period of 3 years. In addition, end term answer sheets of best/average/worst performance may be maintained along with the course file of respective year.
- End term answer sheets: Department shall maintain all end-term examination records for current year and past three years (Academic Year shall be counted from July 1 - June 30). For any student, all end term examination answer sheets shall be

- maintained by respective course coordinators atleast for six months till the award of the provision degree to the student.
- 3. Mid-term answer sheets: these may be destroyed after six months from the display of the grades or date.
- 4. Marks and Grade sheets: Evaluation sheets showing marks in each component (CWS/MTE/ETE for theory course and PRS/PRM/PRE for laboratory course) as well as grades shall be maintained by the office of respective department. These should be maintained for (n+1) years where n is the duration of the program.
- 5. Disposal of these answer sheets should be carried out by the respective department."

The matter is placed for consideration and approval of the Senate.

#### Item No. 43-3.4

To consider making the GATE score mandatory for admission to Ph.D. program with scholarship support.

It is proposed that a valid GATE score be made mandatory for admission to Ph.D. program with scholarship support. The GATE score should have been acquired either within past three years or the candidate should have completed Master's with a valid GATE score.

The matter is placed for consideration and approval.

#### Item No. 43-3.5

To consider the revision in guidelines for Institute Assistantship to a Ph.D. candidate.

As per existing PG rules and regulations (3 FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE)

1. The Institute may provide financial assistance to postgraduate students in the form of teaching or research assistantships (referred to as Institute Assistantship). Assistantships are awarded on a semester to semester basis

for a period of up to four semesters for M.Tech./M.Plan. students and up to eight semesters for Ph.D. students. The stipend for the assistantship is paid at the approved rates as notified by AICTE/MHRD from time to time. A student is expected to devote about eight hours per week towards job(s) assigned to him/her by the department. The renewal of assistantship is contingent on the student's satisfactory performance in the academic programme and in the satisfactory discharge of assistantship duties as assigned to him by the department.

In the current practice a supervisor needs to certify that a student has worked for 8 hours per week for the release of the institute assistantship (IA).

Academic section has received many plea instances where students have requested for their IA to be released as respective supervisor has not forwarded the request for many months even within same semester. To ensure that students' interests are protected while academic quality of research work is preserved, nature of work that

entitle a student for scholarship needs to be quantified. Should a student qualify for IA partially for the teaching related job assigned to him or his/her research progress should be taken into consideration too? It is requested that Senate may draft guidelines for

- 1) What constitutes quantum of work that a student needs to contribute towards continuation of his/her IA?
- 2) Under what circumstances IA can be suspended, discontinued, reinstated and what should be the procedure for the same?
- 3) Can IA be released partially if the concerned student has carried out teaching related academic duties assigned to him?
- 4) Can a supervisor or committee recommend switching scholarship to another student? If yes, what should be the guidelines?

Agenda is placed for deliberations and framing of guidelines by the Senate.

#### Item No. 43-3.6

To consider the proposal of Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering to permit second year M. Tech. students for the research projects with institute scholarship to eminent government research organisations for the period of 3-6 months.

It is proposed that the M. Tech. student may be permitted for the period up to 6 months to the reputed research organisation such as CSIR-NML, CSIR-NCL, IITs or similar type organizations of high repute and world-class laboratories. This will provide the students good research exposure and the opportunity to learn the skills and acquire the knowledge that can help him/her shape their career in a much better way.

This will also enhance collaboration between the academic institute and research organisation. Moreover, there will be more possibility for good quality research publications in international/national journals.

As per current provision, a student is not entitled to Institute assistantship support once he joins an organization/Institute for internship. It is proposed that the assistantship of the student be continued provided

- (1) he joins a Government organization/Institute and
- (2) produces a certificate from the organization/Institute to the effect that no financial subsistence/assistantship is being provided to the student

The matter is placed before Senate for consideration and approval.

#### Item No. 43-3.7

To consider the articles published in paid open access journal publications.

As per MNIT PG rules and regulations,

9.3 PUBLICATIONS ARISING OUT OF PH.D.


Before any thesis is approved for submission by DREC and DPGC, it should be ensured that the work done has been sufficiently peer reviewed. It is required that at least, one paper should have been published (or accepted) in a reputed research journal or two papers in peer reviewed reputed conferences. The candidate shall produce evidence for the same in the form

of acceptance letter or reprints and/or reviewers' comments. The list of such reputed journals and conferences may be prepared before-hand by DREC and approved by DPGC/SPGB. In 38<sup>th</sup> Senate meeting, vide agenda 38-3.12, above was revised as follows: submission the student must submit acceptance/publication of at least one paper in SCOPUS/ESCI/SSCI/SCI Journal and two papers in reputed conference OR two papers in SCOPUS/ESCI/SSCI/SCI Journal. This shall be applicable for the candidates admitted from July 2019 onwards Though the above does not stipulate the nature of publications, it is expected that the publication should be of repute. In recent times, some of the journal publications have become open access. As opposed to the traditional subscription model in which readers have access to research articles by paying a subscription, open access is a publishing model that makes research articles available to readers free of cost. Overheads of the publication are covered through charging fees from the authors. This, however, has raised the questions about the quality of research articles published in these journals. It is proposed that a research article published in open access journal can be considered to meet requirements of PhD thesis submission provided it is a Scopus/SCI/SCI-E publication. The matter is placed before Senate for consideration and approval. Item No. 43-4-0 Items for Ratification and Reporting. Minutes of the 48th meeting of SPGB held on 25th November, 2021. Item No. 43-4.1 The 48<sup>th</sup> meeting of Senate Postgraduate Board was held on 25<sup>th</sup> November, 2021. The minutes of the SPGB approved by Chairman, Senate is placed as Annexure-D (Pg. 21 to 24) for reporting and ratification. Minutes of the 34<sup>th</sup> meeting of SUGB held on 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2021. Item No. 43-4.2 The 34<sup>th</sup> meeting of Senate Undergraduate Board was held on 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2021. The minutes of the SUGB approved by Chairman, Senate is placed as Annexure-E (Pg. 25 to 27) for reporting and ratification. To report the minutes of 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of Academic Affairs Committee meeting Item No. 43-4.3 held on 20th October 2021. The 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of Academic Affairs Committee meeting was held on 20<sup>th</sup> October The minutes of the AAC are placed at Annexure-F (Pg. 28 to 30) for reporting and ratification.

Item No. 43-4.4	To report the approval of the revised syllabi of M.Sc. (Mathematics) courses offered by the Department of Mathematics.
	The matter was discussed in 48 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB under Agenda Item No. 48-2.1. The SPGB deliberated upon the issue and decided to approve the revision in syllable of M.Sc. (Mathematics) as proposed by the Department of Mathematics.
	Minutes of SPGB are placed at <b>Annexure-D</b> ( <b>Pg. 23</b> ). The matter is placed before the senate for reporting and ratification.
Item No. 43-4.5	To report the proposal of Department of Management Studies for two new Program/Open electives.
	A proposal was received from the Department of Management studies for the following 2 new program/Open electives:-
	a. Entrepreneurial Finance (Theory course; 3 credits [L-3, T-0, P-0])
	b. Trends in FinTech (Theory course; 3 credits [L-3, T-0, P-0])
	The matter was discussed in 48 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB under Agenda Item No. 48-2.3. The SPGB deliberated upon the issue and approved the above mentioned 2 new program/Open electives as proposed by the Department of Management studies.
	Minutes of SPGB are placed at <b>Annexure-D</b> (23). The matter is placed before the senate for reporting and ratification.
Item No. 43-4.6	To report the list of students proposed for termination from PG programme due to low CGPA (below 5 CGPA).
	The matter was discussed in 48 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB under Agenda Item No. 48-2.4. The SPGB deliberated upon the issue and approved the proposal. The List of Ph.D. students approved by SPGB for termination as per PG RR 5.6/8.3(2)/8.3(5) is placed at <b>Annexure-G (Pg. 31)</b> for reporting and ratification.
Item No. 43-4.7	To report the list of students proposed for termination from Ph.D. programme as per recommendation of DPGC.
	The matter was discussed in 48 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB under Agenda Item No. 48-2.5. The SPGB deliberated upon the issue and approved the proposal the list of Ph.D. students terminated by the SPGB from the Ph.D. programme as per recommendation of DPGC is placed at <b>Annexure-H (Pg. 32)</b> for reporting and ratification.
Item No. 43-4.8	To report the change of branch of Ms. Priyanka Singh Chauhan (2021PSL5370) from M.Tech. Steel Technology to M. Tech. Metallurgical & Materials Engineering.
	The matter is placed before the Senate for reporting and ratification.
Item No. 43-4.9	To report the conversion of Ph.D. registration of Ms. Pragati Sinha (2020RBM9043) from Full Time to Part Time.
	The matter was discussed in 48 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB under Agenda Item No. 48-2.2. SPGB considered the case on the basis of recommendation of DPGC and in light of

	Matter is place	<b>1</b> d before the Ser	1175 nate for reportin	<b>1331</b> ng.	2507
	TOTAL	1	1175	1331	2507
		4	4435	4004	0-0-
	Ph.D.	0	76	59	135
	M.B.A.	0	43	51	94
	M. Sc.	0	67	87	154
	M. Tech.	1	292	407	700
	M. Planning	0	17	18	35
	B. Tech.	0	633	655	1288
	B. Arch.	0	47	54	101
	Branch	Total degrees 2018-19	Total degrees 2019-20	Total degrees 2020-21	Total Degrees awarded in 15 <sup>th</sup> Convocation
tem No. 43-4.12	The 15 <sup>th</sup> Convo	ocation was hel	<b>in 15<sup>th</sup> Convoc</b> a d on 11 <sup>th</sup> Octob e. The details are	er 2021, a total	of 2507 number o
·	The matter was The SUGB delik syllabi/curricul Minutes of SUG the Senate for	s discussed in 3derated upon the um as per the program of the prog	4 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Si e issue and it wa roposal submitte t <b>Annexure-E (F</b> etification.	es decided to apped by the Depart	nemistry.  Ida Item No. 34-2.2  Prove the revision in ment of Chemistry.  Iter is placed before
tem No. 43-4.11					f B. Tech. 1 <sup>st</sup> year
		GB are placed a reporting and ra		<b>Pg. 27)</b> . The mat	ter is placed before
	The SUGB delik	erated upon the	e issue and it wa	as decided to ap	nda Item No. 34-2.1 prove the revision in he Department o
tem No. 43-4.10			sion in syllabi/co e Department o		ech. core and oper
	The matter is p	laced before th	e Senate for rep	orting and ratific	cation.
	have two years Ph.D. candidat Ms. Pragati Sin	s or more job ex e" it was decide ha (2020RBM90	sperience before d to approve th 143) from Full Ti	e joining Ph.D. a e conversion of me to Part Time.	t MNIT as a full time Ph.D. registration o
	I tile collultion	of minimum re	sidency period	to full time can	didates wno airead

# Annexure





# Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur

(An Institute of National Importance under Ministry of Education (Shiksha Mantralaya), Govt. of India)

JLN Marg, Jaipur-302017 (RAJASTHAN) INDIA

### MINUTES OF 42ND MEETING OF SENATE HELD ON 09TH SEPTEMBER 2021

The 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting of Senate was held on 9<sup>th</sup> September 2021 from 9.00 AM onwards in NKN-1, first floor, Prabha Bhawan of the Institute. The meeting was held online. In all 63 members attended the meeting. The list of members who attended the meeting is enclosed as Annexure -'A' and the list of members who were unable to attend the meeting is enclosed as Annexure-'B'.

At the outset, the Chairman Senate welcomed all the Senate members. After due deliberation, following decisions were taken.

Item No. 42.1.0	: To confirm the minutes of the 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Senate held on March 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2021.
	The 41 <sup>st</sup> Senate meeting was held on March 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2021. The draft minutes of the meeting wer circulated to all the Senate members and no comments were received. The minutes of the 41 Senate meeting were confirmed.
Item No. 42.2.0	: To note the "Action Taken" on the decisions taken in the 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Senate.
	The "Action Taken Report" on the 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Senate was noted.
Item No. 42-3-0	: Items for consideration.
	<ul> <li>To consider the proposal received from the Department of Humanities and Social Science for a Master's program in Public Policy and Development.</li> <li>The Senate deliberated upon the issue and agreed to recommend the proposal of Departmen of Humanities and Social Sciences for a Master's program in Public Policy and Development for the approval of the BOG subject to the following conditions:         <ol> <li>The degree program can be started without any additional financial liability to MNIT.</li> <li>The degree program should be started and run with existing regular faculty strength.</li> <li>Approved course structure should be aligned to make provisions for certificate course as exit policy of the program. Revisions In the structure should be submitted to the Academic Section.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Senate approved the following in respect of eligibility and admission process of this program. Eligibility:         <ol> <li>The applicant must have a Bachelor's degree in Science/Humanities and Social Sciences/Management/ Commerce/ Engineering/Architecture.</li> <li>The applicant should have a minimum of 6.5 CGPA on the 10 point scale (60% marks only where CGPA is not awarded) with a relaxation for SC/ST implying minimum of 6.0 on the 10 point scale (55% marks, only where CGPA is not awarded)</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
Item No. 42-3.2	<ul> <li>Reservation policy as stipulated by Government of India/MoE (Ministry of Education shall be applicable.</li> <li>Eligibility criteria shall be as per MNIT PG regulations from time to time "Admission Process"</li> <li>One of the essential eligibility criteria would be to qualify the GATE (Humanities and Social Sciences) /CUSET (conducted by Central Universities)/National level test conducted by consortium of IITs/CFTIs</li> <li>To consider the guidelines for maintaining academic integrity.</li> </ul>
2,0-24 1011 1103	To consider the gaidelines for maintaining academic integrity.

		<ol> <li>Self-similarity for respectively by U         <ul> <li>a. "Maximuth</li> <li>b. Excluding</li> </ul> </li> <li>Maximum 15% s         <ul> <li>However, a max</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	ted at length and Senate ap r plagiarism check for Proje IG/PG/Ph.D. students shall but Im 8 word count" and g self citations similarity index is allowed to imum of 20% similarity incource remains less than 1%	ect /Dissertation  be as per the for  for the project  dex is allowed	on/Thesis reports submitte allowing t reports/dissertation/thesi
Item No. 42-3.3	:	in the Department of Ma	al to revise the eligibility cr anagement Studies. he revision in eligibility crite ement Studies (DMS). Senat	eria for Ph.D. a	dmission as proposed by th
		follows:  "the applicant must hav institute/University with is not awarded). For equ mandatory"	ve a two-year post-graduate h CGPA not below 6.5 on a t uivalence of program, a cert ept for education qualification	e degree or equ en-point scale tificate from Al	uivalent from a recognized or 60% marks (where CGPA IU to this effect is
Item No. 42-3.4	:	for courses where numb	al to add "Absolute Metho per of registered students is	s not more tha	ın 30.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	oration of "Absolute Methorer of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari	s not more tha 00 shall be bas es as indicate	n 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution and above may be margina
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1	s not more tha 00 shall be bas es as indicate to limitations	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject	s not more tha 00 shall be bas es as indicate to limitations	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject Table: Grades according	s not more tha 00 shall be bas es as indicate to limitations to absolute ma	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject Table: Grades according to Range of Marks	s not more tha  00 shall be bas  es as indicate  to limitations  to absolute ma	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according to Range of Marks  90 – 100	s not more than the basing seas as indicated to limitations to absolute manager AA	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according to Range of Marks  90 – 100  80 – 89	s not more than 00 shall be based as indicated to limitations to absolute marked AA AB	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according Table: Grades according Table: 90 – 100  80 – 89  70 – 79	s not more than 00 shall be bases as indicated to limitations to absolute marked AA AB BB	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according Range of Marks  90 – 100  80 – 89  70 – 79  60 – 69	s not more tha 00 shall be bas es as indicate to limitations to absolute ma Grade AA AB BB BC	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according Range of Marks  90 – 100  80 – 89  70 – 79  60 – 69  50 - 59	s not more tha 00 shall be bas es as indicate to limitations to absolute ma Grade AA AB BB BC CC	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
		for courses where numb award of grades based of as given in following T	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according to Range of Marks  90 – 100  80 – 89  70 – 79  60 – 69  50 - 59  40 - 49	s not more than 200 shall be based as indicated to limitations to absolute made AA AB BB BC CC CD	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
Item No. 42-3.5		for courses where number award of grades based of as given in following Tadjusted and the upper of the consider the propositions of the proposition of the propositio	rer of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according Range of Marks  90 – 100  80 – 89  70 – 79  60 – 69  50 - 59  40 - 49  35 – 40  al of for completion of credits to	s not more tha 00 shall be bas es as indicate to limitations to absolute ma Grade AA AB BB BC CC CD DD	in 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution of above may be marginated of percentage of marks.
Item No. 42-3.5		To consider the propose  (a) MOOC courses  (b) pro-rate or cred	rer of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according Range of Marks  90 – 100  80 – 89  70 – 79  60 – 69  50 - 59  40 - 49  35 – 40  al of for completion of credits to	s not more tha 00 shall be bas es as indicate to limitations to absolute ma Grade AA AB BB BC CC CD DD   DD   Dwards degree	an 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution of percentage of marks.   arks  and authorized the Chairman
	:	To consider the propose  (a) MOOC courses (b) pro-rate or cred  The Senate, in principle Senate to constitute a chis proposal.	per of registered students is on absolute marks out of 1 Table. The grade boundari and lower limits are subject  Table: Grades according to Range of Marks  90-100 80-89 70-79 60-69 50-59 40-49 35-40  al of for completion of credits to dit-based fees e approved pro-rate credit	s not more tha  00 shall be bas  es as indicate to limitations  to absolute ma  Grade  AA  AB  BB  BC  CC  CD  DD    Dwards degree  t-based fees a guidelines for	an 30. As per this method, the sed on the marks distribution of percentage of marks.  The sed on the marks distribution of percentage of marks.  The sed on the marks distribution of percentage of marks.  The sed on the marks distribution of percentage of marks.

PhD Admissions: Essential Educational qualifications for PhD admissions in CEE are B.Tech/B.Arch./B.E./M.Sc. and Master's degree in Engineering/Technology/Architecture in relevant areas. M.Tech Admissions: Essential educational qualifications for M.Tech admissions in CEE are existing minimum qualifications for admission with addition of "any other relevant specialization" in B.E./B.Tech./MSc. Other criteria for admission shall remain same as laid out in MNIT PG rules and regulations Item No. 42-3.7 To consider the proposal received from Department of Mechanical Engineering and Department of Physics to introduce new elective courses. The Senate deliberated and approved the following courses (to be offered to Undergraduate students) proposed by the Department of Mechanical Engineering and Department of Physics. Course Course Name Course Type Department No. Code **MET 477** (i) Machine Learning for Adv. Program Mechanical Mechanical Engineering Elective Engineering Reliability and Maintainability (ii) **MET 478** Adv. Program Mechanical Engineering Elective. Engineering (iii) **MET 495** Strategic Product Open elective Mechanical Development Engineering (iv) MET ---Welding Engineering and Program Mechanical Technology elective Engineering PHT 422 (v) Organic Electronic Material Physics and Devices Senate authorized Dean (Academic) to assign and approve a unique course code for the course at (iv) as per applicable scheme. Senate did not approve the following Open elective course proposed by Department of Mechanical Engineering 1. Introduction to Sports Engineering Item No. 42-3.8 To consider the list of UG students who have reached their maximum limit of duration for completing the B. Tech. degree at MNIT Jaipur. Based on the request of Mr. Kanak Parmar and considering only 8 credits are required to complete the degree, the Senate decided to give one-time relaxation to Mr. Kanak Parmar and to approved his re-admission in accordance with NEP guidelines. Item No. 42-3.9 To re-consider the conditions of NoC to be submitted by the Ph.D. students from their employer (more than 70 Km from Jaipur) for converting from Full-Time to Part-Time/Offcampus before completion of three years from registration to Ph.D. programme. The Senate approved the proposal and decided to replace NoC with a letter of consent. Following is the approved format for consent from employer "This is with reference to Mr./Ms. \_ \_\_ working in this organization as (post). The undersigned has no objection to permit Mr./Ms. for pursuing the Ph.D. programme (part time) at MNIT Jaipur in the Department of \_\_. This bears the approval of competent authority." Subject to the conditions 1. The conversion would be allowed only if the student has satisfied the requirement of the minimum period of residence as Full-time student.

		2. The students converting from full time to part time are not allowed to submit the Ph.D. thesis before one year from the date of issue of consent letter by the institute/organization or minimum residency period (as decided by Senate from time to time) for Part-Time students, whichever is later.
		<ol> <li>Students also need to fulfill any minimum attendance requirement as stipulated in PG regulations or decided by respective supervisor(s)/DREC, whichever is more (in terms of number of days).</li> </ol>
Item No. 42-3.10	•	To consider the issue of slots of Ph.D. admission as proposed by the Department of Management Studies and Department of Humanities & Social Sciences.
		Senate requested Dean (Academic) to apprise members regarding data relevant to faculty strength, number of PhDs awarded (with and without scholarship) so that the guidelines for scholarships can be drafted judiciously. This agenda shall be considered once this data is made available.
Item No. 42-3.11		To consider the proposal to revise/modify the criteria for addition of joint-supervisor (external / internal) in Ph.D. programme.
		The Senate agrees that the NIRF Ranking should not be sole criteria concerning research collaboration with Government (central/state) funded Institutes. To frame the guidelines in respect of research experience/profile of the faculty (considered for joint PhD supervision), the Senate authorized the Chairman, Senate to constitute a committee to review the proposal.
Item No. 42-4-0		Items for Ratification.
Item No. 42-4.1		Minutes of the 47 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB held on 06 <sup>th</sup> August, 2021.
		The minutes of 47 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Senate Postgraduate Board (SPGB) were noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-4.2		To report the new PG Schemes.
4 -		Subject to incorporation of change of "M.Tech (Embedded)" to "M.Tech (Embedded Systems", the agenda was noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-4.3	:	Minutes of the 33 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of SUGB held on 20 <sup>th</sup> July, 2021.
		The minutes of 33 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of Senate Undergraduate Board (SUGB) were noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-4.4	•	To report the minutes of 20 <sup>th</sup> & 21 <sup>st</sup> meeting of Academic Affairs Committee meeting held on July 26, 2021 and 30 <sup>th</sup> July 2021 in respect of readmission of Mr. Lakhinana Tharaka Rathna (2020PTE5343).
2001		The minutes of 20 <sup>th</sup> and 21 <sup>st</sup> meeting of Academic Affairs Committee were noted and ratified. The Senate also appreciated the humanitarian gesture of MNIT administration regarding readmission of Mr. Lakhinana Tharaka Rathna to M.Tech (Thermal Engineering).
Item No. 42-4.5	2	To ratify the additional qualification(s) for admission to M.Tech. (Environmental Engineering) by the Department of Civil Engineering.
		Noted and ratified.

<sup>\*</sup> It may be noted that vide decision regarding Item No. 32 -4.7 of 32nd Senate held on 28th March 2015, PG regulations Clause 6.1 is as follows:

<sup>&</sup>quot;The minimum period of residency for a Ph. D. (FT/PT non sponsored) student shall be 2 years/ 3 years (depending upon the program as stipulated in PG regulation) from the date of initial registration. However, no demarcation would be made regarding the residency period based on the date of completion of comprehensive exam/ State of art seminar."

Item No. 40-4.6	To ratify the additional qualification(s) for admission to M.Tech (Metallurgical & Material Engineering) by the Department of Metallurgical & Material Engineering.  Noted and ratified.	eria
Item No. 40-4.7	To ratify the addition of qualification for M.Sc. admissions.  Noted and ratified.	
Item No. 42-4.8	To ratify the list of the students eligible for award of degree in UG, PG and Ph.D. program (Graduated in 2021) in the forthcoming Convocation.  Noted and ratified.	ıme
Item No. 42-4.9	To ratify the names of the students for award of Gold Medals in the respective UG and programmes.  Noted and ratified.	d PG
Item No. 42-4.10	To ratify the revision in courses as proposed by the Department of Physics and Department of Humanities & Social Sciences.  Noted and ratified.	nen
Item No. 42-4.11	To ratify the clarification regarding uniformity in policy for conduction of re-exam for and ETE for students who missed their exam because of genuine reasons.  Noted and ratified.	MTI
Item No. 42-4.12	To ratify the change in name of the course BMT660 Advanced Operations Research BMT660 Advanced Operations Management offered by DMS.	h to
	Noted and ratified.	
Item No. 42-4.13	To ratify the award of MBA degree to the students who secured CGPA < 6.  Noted and ratified.	
Item No. 42-4.14	To report the list of Ph.D. students considered by SPGB for termination as per PG 5.6/8.3(2)/8.3(5).  Noted and ratified.	RF
Item No. 42-4.15	To report Ph.D. students permitted for comprehensive exam extension.  Noted and ratified.	
tem No. 42-4.16	To report Ph.D. Students permitted for semester extension for January 2021 to June 2021  Noted and ratified.	•
Item No. 42-4.17	To report the (a) Academic Calendar for Odd Semester (A.Y. 2021-22) for UG, PG and P programme and (b) revised Academic Calendar for B.Arch. (IX) semester in view of classe offline mode (odd semester, A.Y. 2021-22).	

		Noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-4.18	:	To report the revised fee structure.  Noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-4.19		To report the decision to promote the MBA/MSc Students in next semester without giving
Item NO. 42-4.15		examination.
		Noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-4.20	*	To report promotion of Ph.D. students admitted in January 2021 in subsequent semester without considering the CGPA requirement.  Noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-4.21	:	To report the names of student representatives in the Senate.
1 14		Noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-4.22	0,	To report and ratify the new elective courses as proposed by the Department of Management Studies and Department of Humanities & Social Sciences.  Noted and ratified.
Item No. 42-5.0	:	Any other items with permission of chair.

On behalf of Senate members, Chairman Senate appreciated hard work and contributions of all committee members and the team of Dean (Academic) for conducting off-line examination and dynamic adaption of academic calendars and policies for ensuring timely completion of degree programs even during challenging circumstances due to COVID-19 pandemic.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

**Registrar & Secretary** 

The list of Senate members who attended online/offline 42<sup>nd</sup> Senate meeting:

. No.	Name				
1.	Prof. Udaykumar R. Yaragatti				
2.	Prof. N. C. Shivaprakash				
3.	Prof. Lakshman Nandagiri				
4.	Prof. K. R. Niazi				
5.	Prof. Urmila Brighu				
6.	Prof. Ravindra Nagar				
7.	Prof. Lava Bhargava				
8.	Prof. A. P. S. Rathore				
9.	Prof. Alok Ranjan				
10.	Dr. Sanjay Bhatter				
11.	Prof. Rajeev Shringi				
12.	Dr. Nand Kumar				
13.	Prof. Kailash Singh				
14.	Prof. Raj Kumar Vyas				
15.	Prof. Suja George				
16.	Prof. Sushant Kumar Jana				
17.	Prof. B. L. Swami				
18.	Prof. A. B. Gupta				
19.	Prof. R. C. Gupta				
20.	Prof. Sudhir Kumar				
21.	Prof. S. D. Bharti				
22.	Prof. Vijay Laxmi				
23.	Prof. Girdhari Singh				
24.	Prof. Rajesh Kumar				
25.	Prof. Harpal Tiwari				
26.	Prof. Manoj Fozdar				
27.	Prof. Rajive Tiwari				
28.	Prof. Vijay Janyani				
29.	Prof. Ghanshyam Singh				
30.	Prof. K. K. Sharma				
31.	Prof. Tarush Chandra				
32.	Prof. M. M. Sharma				
33.	Prof. R. P. Yadav				
34.	Prof. D. Boolchandani				
35.	Prof. Vineet Sahula				
36.	Prof. Manju Singh				
37.	Prof. Monika Sharma				
	Prof. M. L. Mittal				
38. 20	Prof. Himanshu Chaudhary				
39. 40					
40.	Prof. Jyotirmay Mathur				
41.	Prof. Dilip Sharma				
42.	Prof. Rakesh Jain				
43.	Prof. Nirutpam Rohtagi				
44.	Prof. Rajendra Kumar Goyal				
45.	Prof. Kanupriya Sachdev				
46.	Dr. Manish Vashistha				
47.	Dr. Rahul Singhal				
48.	Dr. Vivakanand				
49.	Dr. Kamlendra Awasthi				

51.	Prof. T. C. Gupta
52.	Prof. Upendra Pandel
53.	Prof. Y. P. Mathur
54.	Prof. Vibhuti Singh Shekhawat
55.	Prof. G. S. Dangayach
56.	Prof. Gunwant Sharma
57.	Prof. G. D. Agarwal
58.	Dr. Dinesh Gopalani
59.	Dr. Dipti Sharma
60.	Prof. Mohammad Salim
61.	Prof. Rajesh Kumar
62.	Prof. Mahender Choudhary
63.	Prof. Ajay Singh Jethoo

\*\*\*

The list of members who could not attend 42<sup>nd</sup> Senate meeting:

S. No.	Name
1.	Prof. Hema A. Murthy
2.	Dr. Ajay Kumar Sharma
3.	Prof. S. P. Chaurasia
4.	Prof. Alok Gupta
5.	Prof. A. K. Vyas
6.	Prof. Mahesh Kumar Jat
7.	Prof. S. K. Tiwari
8.	Prof. Vikas Gupta
9.	Prof. Ragini Gupta
10.	Prof. Jyoti Joshi
11.	Prof. Sanjay Mathur
12.	Prof. M. K. Shrimali
13.	Prof. Rohit Goyal
14.	Prof. Nupur Tondon
15.	Ms. Arshika Tomar (2018UCE1103)
16.	Mr. Bala Ganesh (2020PCV5316)

q.

# Annexure



## ACTION TAKEN REPORT

-S. No	Item No.	Particulars	Decision	Action Taken
1.	42-1-0	To confirm the minutes of the 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Senate held on March 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2021.	The 41 <sup>st</sup> Senate meeting was held on March 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2021. The draft minutes of the meeting were circulated to all the Senate members and no comments were received. The minutes of the 41 <sup>st</sup> Senate meeting were confirmed.	Noted
2.	42-2-0	To note the "Action Taken" on the decisions taken in the 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Senate.	The "Action Taken Report" on the 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Senate was noted.	Noted
3.	42-3.0	Items for consideration	A	
4.	42-3.1	To consider the proposal received from the Department of Humanities and Social Sciences for a Master's program in Public Policy and Development.	The Senate deliberated upon the issue and agreed to recommend the proposal of Department of Humanities and Social Sciences for a Master's program in Public Policy and Development for the approval of the BOG subject to the following conditions:  1. The degree program can be started without any additional financial liability to MNIT.  2. The degree program should be started and run with existing regular faculty strength.	
			<ul> <li>3. Approved course structure should be aligned to make provisions for certificate courses as exit policy of the program. Revisions In the structure should be submitted to the Academic Section.</li> <li>Senate approved the following in respect of eligibility and admission process of this program.</li> <li>Eligibility: <ul> <li>The applicant must have a Bachelor's degree in Science/Humanities and Social Sciences /Management/ Commerce/Engineering/Architecture.</li> <li>The applicant should have a minimum of 6.5 CGPA on the 10 point scale (60% marks, only where CGPA is not awarded) with a relaxation for SC/ST implying minimum of 6.0 on the 10 point scale (55% marks, only where CGPA is not awarded)</li> <li>Reservation policy as stipulated by Government of India/MoE (Ministry of Education) shall be applicable.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Noted

			"Admission Process"  One of the essential eligibility criteria would be to qualify the GATE (Humanities and Social Sciences) /CUSET (conducted by Central Universities)/National level test conducted by consortium of IITs/CFTIs	
5.	42-3.2	To consider the guidelines for maintaining academic integrity.	This matter was deliberated at length and Senate approved the following.  1. Self-similarity for plagiarism check for Project /Dissertation/Thesis reports submitted respectively by UG/PG/Ph.D. students shall be as per the following a. "Maximum 8 word count" and b. Excluding self citations  2. Maximum 15% similarity index is allowed for the project reports/dissertation/thesis. However, a maximum of 20% similarity index is allowed only if similarity index from each individual source remains less than 1%.	Implemented Office Order No. F4/S-V-1/20-21- Acad-(42- Senate)/ 834 dated 17-11-21
6.	42-3.3	To consider the proposal to revise the eligibility criteria for admission to Ph.D. Programmes in the Department of Management Studies.	The Senate approved the revision in eligibility criteria for Ph.D. admission as proposed by the Department of Management Studies (DMS). Senate-approved eligibility criteria for DMS is as follows:  "the applicant must have a two-year post-graduate degree or equivalent from a recognized institute/University with CGPA not below 6.5 on a ten-point scale or 60% marks (where CGPA is not awarded). For equivalence of program, a certificate from AIU to this effect is mandatory" All other conditions except for education qualifications shall be as per MNIT PG regulations.	Noted
7	42-3.4	To consider the proposal to add "Absolute Method for Award of Grades" in PG regulations for courses where number of registered students is not more than 30.	Senate approved incorporation of "Absolute Method for Award of Grades" in PG regulations for courses where number of registered students is not more than 30. As per this method, the award of grades based on absolute marks out of 100 shall be based on the marks distribution as given in following Table. The grade boundaries as indicated above may be marginally adjusted and the upper and lower limits are subject to limitations of percentage of marks.  Table: Grades according to absolute marks  Range of Marks Grade  90 – 100 AA  80 – 89 AB	Implemented Office Order No. F4/S-V-1/20-21- Acad-(42- Senate)/731 dated 14 <sup>th</sup> October 2021

				70 5	70	חח		
				70 - 7		BB		
				60 - 6		BC		
				50 -5		CC		
				40 -4		CD		
				35 – 4		DD		
8.	42-3.5	To consider the proposal of  (a) MOOC courses for completion of credits towards degree  (b) pro-rate or credit-based fees	The Senate, in principle approved pro-rate credit-based fees and authorized the Chairman, Senate to constitute a committee to consider the guidelines for implementation/adoption of this proposal.				Office Order No. F4/S-V-1/20-21-	
9.	42-3.6	To consider the approval of amendment in minimum eligibility criteria for Ph.D. & M.Tech admission at CEE.	The Senate approved the amendment in minimum eligibility criteria for Ph.D. & M.Tech admission as proposed by DPGC of the Centre for Energy and Environment (CEE). Senate-approved educational qualifications for eligibility for PhD and M.Tech admissions at CEE is as follows: PhD Admissions: Essential Educational qualifications for PhD admissions in CEE are B.Tech/B.Arch./B.E./M.Sc. and Master's degree in Engineering/Technology/Architecture in relevant areas.  M.Tech Admissions: Essential educational qualifications for M.Tech admissions in CEE are existing minimum qualifications for admission with addition of "any other relevant specialization" in B.E./B.Tech./MSc. Other criteria for admission shall remain same as laid out in MNIT PG rules and regulations manual.					
10.	42-3.7	To consider the proposal received from Department of Mechanical Engineering and Department of Physics to introduce new elective courses.	follov Under Depar	ving cou rgraduate tment of	iberated and urses (to b students) promechanical tof Physics.  Course Name  Machine Learning for Mechanical Engineering  Reliability and Maintain ability Engine	e offere oposed b	ed to by the	Implemented

					ering		ng	
			(iii) 0	ME T 495	Strategi c Product Develo pment	Open electi ve	Mec hanic al Engi neeri ng	
				T43 3	g Engine ering and Techno logy	am electi ve	hanic al Engi neeri ng	
					Organic Electro nic Materia I and Devices zed Dean (rove a unique	*		
			for the scheme	e course e. did not a e course mical Eng	at (iv) as approve the f proposed by	per app ollowing Departn	g Open nent of	
11.	42-3.8	To consider the list of UG students who have reached their maximum limit of duration for completing the B. Tech. degree at MNIT Jaipur.	and co comple give Parma	nsidering ete the de one-time r and to a	equest of Mr only 8 credit egree, the Se relaxation approved his NEP guideli	s are recented to Mr. re-admi	uired to cided to Kanak	Response from student awaited
12.	42-3.9	To re-consider the conditions of NoC to be submitted by the Ph.D. students from their employer (more than 70 Km from Jaipur) for converting from Full-Time to Part-Time/Off-campus before completion of three years from registration to Ph.D. programme.	has no objection to permit Mr./Ms.  for pursuing the Ph.D. programme (part time) at MNIT Jaipur Sena				Implemented Office Order No. F4/S-V-1/20-21- Acad-(42- Senate)/732 dated 14-10-21	
			1. T	he conver	rsion would bent has satisficent		ed only	


			requirement of the minimum period of residence as Full-time student.  2. The students converting from full time to part time are not allowed to submit the Ph.D. thesis before one year from the date of issue of consent letter by the institute/ organization or minimum residency period* (as decided by Senate from time to time) for Part-Time students, whichever is later.  3. Students also need to fulfill any minimum attendance requirement as stipulated in PG regulations or decided by respective supervisor(s)/DREC, whichever is more (in terms of number of days).	
13.	42-3.10	To consider the issue of slots of Ph.D. admission as proposed by the Department of Management Studies and Department of Humanities & Social Sciences.	Senate requested Dean (Academic) to apprise members regarding data relevant to faculty strength, number of PhDs awarded (with and without scholarship) so that the guidelines for scholarships can be drafted judiciously. This agenda shall be considered once this data is made available.	Noted
14.	42-3.11	To consider the proposal to revise/modify the criteria for addition of joint-supervisor (external / internal) in Ph.D. programme.	The Senate agrees that the NIRF Ranking should not be sole criteria concerning research collaboration with Government (central/state) funded Institutes. To frame the guidelines in respect of research experience/profile of the faculty (considered for joint PhD supervision), the Senate authorized the Chairman, Senate to constitute a committee to review the proposal.	Implemented Office Order No. F4/S-V-1/20-21- Acad-(42- Senate)/ 827dated 15-11-21
15.	41-4.0	Items for Ratification.		
16.	42-4.1	Minutes of the 47 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB held on 06 <sup>th</sup> August, 2021.	The minutes of 47 <sup>th</sup> meeting of Senate Postgraduate Board (SPGB) were noted and ratified.	Noted
17,	42-4.2	To report the new PG Schemes.	Subject to incorporation of change of "M.Tech (Embedded)" to "M.Tech (Embedded Systems", the agenda was noted and ratified.	Noted
18.	42-4.3	Minutes of the 33 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of SUGB held on 20 <sup>th</sup> July, 2021.	The minutes of 33 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of Senate Undergraduate Board (SUGB) were noted and ratified.	Noted
19:	42-4.4	To report the minutes of 20 <sup>th</sup> & 21 <sup>st</sup> meeting of Academic Affairs Committee meeting held on July 26, 2021 and 30 <sup>th</sup> July 2021 in respect of readmission of Mr. Lakhinana Tharaka Rathna (2020PTE5343).	The minutes of 20 <sup>th</sup> and 21 <sup>st</sup> meeting of Academic Affairs Committee were noted and ratified. The Senate also appreciated the humanitarian gesture of MNIT administration regarding re admission of Mr. Lakhinana Tharaka Rathna to M.Tech	Noted

			(Thermal Engineering).	
20.	42-4.5	To ratify the additional qualification(s) for admission to M.Tech. (Environmental Engineering) by the Department of Civil Engineering.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
21.	42-4.6	To ratify the additional qualification(s) for admission to M.Tech (Metallurgical & Material Engineering) by the Department of Metallurgical & Material Engineering.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
22.	42-4.7	To ratify the addition of qualification for M.Sc. admissions.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
23.	42-4.8	To ratify the list of the students eligible for award of degree in UG, PG and Ph.D. programmes (Graduated in 2021) in the forthcoming Convocation.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
24.	42-4.9	To ratify the names of the students for award of Gold Medals in the respective UG and PG programmes.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
25.	42-4.10	To ratify the revision in courses as proposed by the Department of Physics and Department of Humanities & Social Sciences.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
26.	42-4.11	To ratify the clarification regarding uniformity in policy for conduction of re-exam for MTE and ETE for students who missed their exam because of genuine reasons.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
27.	42-4.12	To ratify the change in name of the course BMT660 Advanced Operations Research to BMT660 Advanced Operations Management offered by DMS.	Noted and ratified.	Implemented Office Order No. F4/S-V-1/20-21- Acad-(42- Senate)/733dated 14-10-21
28.	42-4.13	To ratify the award of MBA degree to the students who secured CGPA < 6.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
29.	42-4.14	To report the list of Ph.D. students considered by SPGB for termination as per PG RR 5.6/8.3(2)/8.3(5).	Noted and ratified.	Implemented Office Order No. F4/S-V-1/20-21- Acad-(42- Senate)/ 730 dated 14-10-21
30.	42-4.15	To report Ph.D. students permitted for comprehensive exam extension.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
31.	42-4.16	To report Ph.D. Students permitted for semester extension for January 2021 to June 2021.	Noted and ratified.	Noted

32.	42-4.17	To report the (a) Academic Calendar for Odd Semester (A.Y. 2021-22) for UG, PG and Ph.D. programme and (b) revised Academic Calendar for B.Arch. (IX) semester in view of classes in offline mode (odd semester, A.Y. 2021-22).	Noted and ratified.	Noted
33.	42-4.18	To report the revised fee structure.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
34.	42-4.19	To report the decision to promote the MBA/MSc Students in next semester without giving examination.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
35.	42-4.20	To report promotion of Ph.D. students admitted in January 2021 in subsequent semester without considering the CGPA requirement.	Noted and ratified.	Noted
36.	42-4.21	To report the names of student representatives in the Senate.	Noted and ratified.	Implemented Office Order No. F4/S-V-1/20-21- Acad-(42- Senate)/ 835 dated 17-11-21
37.	42-4.22	To report and ratify the new elective courses as proposed by the Department of Management Studies and Department of Humanities & Social Sciences.	Noted and ratified.	Noted

A STATE

# Annexure



# Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur Academic Section

Date: September 2, 2021

Subject: Minutes of the Meeting convened on September 2, 2021

A meeting of Dean and Associate Deans, Academic Section, was convened on September 2, 2021 at 3PM. Following members were present.

1. Prof. Urmila Brighu, Dean (Academics)

2. Prof. Kanupriya, Associate Dean (PG)

3. Dr. Anil Swarnkar, Associate Dean (UG)

4. Prof. Vijay Laxmi, Associate Dean (Academics)

Main agenda of the meeting was to improve academic transparency and strengthening academic practices. After due deliberations, following decisions were taken

1. To maintain uniformity and improve seriousness towards laboratory evaluation for both undergraduate and postgraduate courses, it was decided that a

- a) One mid-term examination should be mandatory. A laboratory course, therefore, should be evaluated on PRS (Practical Sessionals), PRM (Practical Mid Term Exam) and PRE (Practical End Term Exam). A typical distribution of these components can be PRS: 60%, PRM: 20%, PRE: 20%. The matter should be placed before senate for approval.
- b) end-term examination of the lab courses shall be held by two faculty members other than course instructor(s).
- 2. During mid-term and end-term examinations, all departments should ensure

a) at least one faculty invigilator per room.

- b) Seating plan for the students (a sample is attached herewith and to be displayed on the notice board along with the time table)
- 3. For M.Tech thesis evaluation in III and IV semesters (V and VI semesters for Part-Time students), at least one midterm review should be held. A committee consisting of at least three faculty members from the department, including the supervisor(s), should do this review. This evaluation should carry a weight of 30% towards overall evaluation.
- 4. It was decided that a policy needs to be devised for maintaining e-records. Dean (Academics) was requested to constitute a committee in this respect.
- 5. It was decided that the merit boards listing the UG gold medalists shall be maintained at VLTC.
- 6. In view of NBA and academic audit requirements, it was decided to revise document retention policy (reference: Office Order No 83 dated 15-01-2013 in respect of Senate agenda 24-3.10 ) as follows

Existing provision: "As per item No 24-3.10 regarding disposal of Mid Term and End Term answer sheets and relevant documents, it was decided that Mid Term and End Term answer sheets may be destroyed after six months from the display of the grades. The timetable and course files shall be retained at least for a period of 3 years. Stores section shall be responsible for disposal of above material."

17

Love

Proposed:

- 1. The time table and course files: these shall be retained at least for a period of 3 years. In addition, end term answer sheets of best/average/worst performance may be maintained along with the course file of respective
- 2. End term answer sheets: Department shall maintain all end-term examination records for current year and past three years (Academic Year shall be counted from July 1 - June 30). For any student, all end term examination answer sheets shall be maintained by respective course coordinators atleast for six months till the award of the provision degree to the student.
- 3. Mid-term answer sheets: these may be destroyed after six months from the display of the grades or date.
- 4. Marks and Grade sheets: Evaluation sheets showing marks in each component (CWS/MTE/ETE for theory course and PRS/PRM/PRE for laboratory course) as well as grades shall be maintained by the office of respective department. These should be maintained for (n+1) years where n is the duration of the program.
- 5. Disposal of these answer sheets should be carried out by the respective department,"

Committee members asked AD (Academics) to explore if uploading of these marks could be facilitated on ERP.

It was decided that the matter be placed before Senate for consideration and approval.

- 7. For UG students registering for 7th semester, starting from October 2nd week, lecture classes shall be held in on-line mode till further orders while lab classes shall be held in off-line mode (students have to be physically present in the lab).
- 8. It was decided that all MTech/MPlan and PhD students drawing scholarship should report to campus by September 27, 2021 failing which their scholarship shall not be released for the period of absence counted from September 28, 2021 till they report to MNIT. All departments should maintain a register for the attendance record of PG/PhD students till a decision on biometric attendance is enacted.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

Prof. U.Brighu

Dean (Academics)

Prof. K. Sachdev Dr. A. Swarnkar

AD (PG)

AD (UG)

Prof. V. Laxmi

AD (Academics)

Seating Plan: 1 student per bench

		BLACKBOARD		
Column 5	Column 4	Column 3	Column 2	Column 1
2017yyy1306	2017yyy1383	2017yyy1469	2017ууу1554	2017yyy1617
2017yyy1309	2017yyy1384	2017ууу1478	2017ууу1555	2017ууу1620
2017yyy1313	2017ууу1389	2017ууу1479	2017ууу1566	2017yyy1637
2017yyy1323	2017yyy1401	2017yyy1513	2017ууу1570	2017yyy1670
2017yyy1329	2017ууу1412	2017yyy1515	2017ууу1577	2017ууу1689
2017yyy1331	2017ууу1432	2017ууу1517	2017ууу1580	2017yyy1697
2017yyy1345	2017yyy1436	2017ууу1530	2017yyy1582	2017yyy1705

Seating Plan: 2 students per bench

2017ууу1331 2017ууу1432	_	2017,12/15	2017vvv1436	2017yyy1345   2017yyy1436	2017yyy1436	2017yyy1345	2017yyy1436	2017yyy1345
	2017ууу1432 20:	2017ууу1331	2017үүү1432	2017γγγ1331 2017γγγ1432	2017yyy1432	2017yyy1331	2017yyy1432	2017yyy1331
2017yyy1329 2017yyy1412	2017ууу1412 20:	2017yyy1329	2017yyy1412	2017ууу1329	2017ууу1412	2017yyy1329	2017ууу1412	2017yyy1329
2017ууу1323 2017ууу1401	2017yyy1401 20:	2017ууу1323	2017ууу1401	2017үүү1323 2017үүү1401	2017yyy1401	2017ууу1323	2017yyy1401	2017yyy1323
2017ууу1313 2017ууу1389	2017yyy1389 20	2017ууу1313	2017ууу1389	2017ууу1313 2017ууу1389	2017ууу1389	2017ууу1313	201 <b>7</b> yyy1389	2017ууу1313
2017ууу1309 2017ууу1384	2017ууу1384 20	2017ууу1309	2017ууу1384	2017yyy1309	2017ууу1384	2017yyy1309 2017yyy1384	2017yyy1384	2017ууу1309
2017yyy1306 2017yyy1383	2017ууу1383 20	2017ууу1306	2017ууу1383	2017yyy1306 2017yyy1383	2017ууу1383	2017yyy1306	2017yyy1383	201/ууу1306
Column 1	Column 2	Colu	Column 3	Colu	Column 4	Colu	Columns	COIN
			BLACKBOARD	BLACK			7	<u>}</u>

दैनन्दिनी संख्या MNIT DIARY NO.

# मालवीय राष्ट्रीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान जयपुर

्पृष्ठ संख्या

MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAIPUF

Academic Section

Page No.

पंजिका संख्याः एफ-4/S-II-1/21-22-Acad-OS कार्यालय टिप्पणी Note Sheet

Subject:-Minutes of 48<sup>th</sup> SPGB Meeting held on November 25<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 04:00 PM.

48<sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB was held on November 25<sup>th</sup>, 2021at 04:00 PM in old senate Hall, Ground floor, Prabha Bhawan, MNIT. Jaipur.

The minutes of 48<sup>th</sup> SPGB meeting are put for kind approval.

(Kanupriya Sachdev) Convener, SPGB

for Chairman, SPGB

Chairman Senate

## MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAIPUR

# MINUTES OF THE 48<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE SPGB HELD ON NOVEMBER 25<sup>th</sup>, 2021

The 48<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SPGB was held on Nov 25<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 4:00 PM in the Old Senate Hall, Prabha Bhawan.

The meeting was attended by the following members:

S.No.	Name	Department
1.	Prof. Rakesh Jain	Chairman, SPGB
2.	Prof. Ravindra Nagar	Chairperson, SUGB
3.	Prof. Urmila Brighu	Dean, Academics
4.	Prof. Raj Kumar Vyas	Nominee Chairperson Senate
5.	Prof. Kanupriya Sachdev	Associate Dean (PG& PhD)/ Convenor SPGB
6.	Dr. Anil Swarnkar	Associate Dean (UG)
7.	Dr. Varun Jindal	Department of Mathematics
8.	Dr. K Venkataratnam Kamma	Department of Physics
9.	Dr. Yogesh Kumar Meena	Department of Computer Science & Engineering
10.	Dr. Vijay Navaratna	Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering
	Nadakuduru	
11.	Dr. Sumanta Kumar Meher	Department of Chemistry
12.	Dr. Deepak Verma	Department of Management Studies
13.	Dr. Reetu Singh	Dy. Registrar Academic Section, Special Invitee
14.	Dr.Tarun Varma	Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering
15.	Dr. Ashwani Kumar	Department of Architecture and Planning
16.	Dr. Preeti Bhatt	Department of Humanities and Social Science
17.	Dr. Vikas Kumar Sangal	Department of Chemical Engineering
18.	Dr. Praveen Kumar Agrawal	Department of Electrical Engineering
19.	Dr. Nisha Verma	Materials Research Centre

## Following members couldn't attend the meeting:

S.No.	Name	Department
1.	Prof. M.K. Shrimali	National Centre for Disaster Mitigation & Management
2.	Prof. Sanjay Mathur	Immediate Past Chairperson, SPGB
3.	Prof. Himanshu Chaudhary	Department of Mechanical engineering
4.	Dr. Kapil Pareek	Centre for Energy and Environment
5.	Dr. Rajesh Gupta	Department of Civil Engineering
6.	Dr. Bhagwati Sharma	Department of Material Research Center

The following agenda items were discussed and the recommendations are as follows:

¥4 37	and the recommendations are as follows:
Item No 48-1.0	incetting of the SPGB field on August, 6" 2021
	SPGB confirmed the minutes of 47 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SPGB held on August, 6 <sup>th</sup> 2021.
Item No 48-2.0	To Complete and the com
Item No. 48-2.1	To consider the approval of the revised syllabi of M.Sc. (Mathematics) courses offered by the department of mathematics.
	SPGB deliberated upon the issue and decided to approve the revised syllabi of M.Sc.(Mathematics) as proposed by the Department of Mathematics.
Item No. 48-2.2	To consider the proposal of Department of Management Studies regarding conversion of PhD registration of Ms. Pragati Sinha (ID: 2020RBM9043) from Full Time to Part Time
	The matter was discussed in light of the decision taken by the SPGB in its 35 <sup>th</sup> meeting regarding non-applicability of "the condition of minimum residency period to full time candidates who already have two years or more job experience before joining PhD at MNIT as a full time PhD candidate".
	SPGB considered the case on the basis of recommendation of DPGC and decided to approve the conversion of PhD registration of Ms. Pragati Sinha (ID: 2020RBM9043) from Full Time to Part Time
	Further, SPGB authorised the Chairman, SPGB to constitute a committee to reconsider the duration of minimum residency period for PhD scholars.
Item No. 48-2.3	To consider the proposal of Department of Management Studies for two new Courses (Program/Open electives)
	The following 2 new courses (Program/Open electives) as proposed by the Department of Management Studies were discussed in the meeting:
	a. Entrepreneurial Finance (Theory course; 3 credits [L-3, T-0, P-0])
	b. Trends in FinTech (Theory course; 3 credits [L-3, T-0, P-0])
	SPGB approved the aforesaid courses (Program/Open electives) as proposed by the Department of Management Studies.
Item No. 48-2.4	To consider the list of students proposed for termination from PG programme due to low CGPA.
Item No	SPGB deliberated upon the issue and in line with the rules and regulation specified for the PG students, SPGB approved the termination of the students mentioned at Annexure D (who has CGPA below 5) from the PG programme of the institute.  To consider the list of students proposed for termination from Ph.D programme as per recommendation of DPGC.
	SPGB deliberated upon the issue and in line with the rules and regulation specified for the PhD students, SPGB approved the termination of the students mentioned at Annexure E from the PhD programme of the institute.



Item No	Reporting Items
48-3.0	
Item No	To report PG students permitted for internship work in other Institute.
48-3.1	Noted and Ratified.
Item No	To report Ph.D students granted approval for change of supervisor.
48-3.2	Noted and Ratified.
Item No	To report PhD students permitted for Semester Withdrawal.
48-3.3	Noted and Ratified.
Item No	To report Ph.D students permitted for comprehensive exam extension.
48-3.4	Noted and Ratified.
Item No	To report MNIT Faculty permitted to supervise Ph.D. students of other
48-3.5	Institute.Noted and Ratified.
Item No	To report PhD Students whose supervisors are added during their PhD
48-3.6	programme.
	Noted and Ratified.
Item No	To report Ph.D students whose status is converted from Full time to Part time.
48-3.7	Noted and Ratified.
Item No	To report Ph.D Students permitted for semester extension for July 2021 to
48-3.8	December 2021.
	Noted and Ratified.

Convener SPGB

for Chairman SPGB

दैनन्दिनी संख्या MNIT DIARY NO.

# मालवीय राष्ट्रीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान जयपुर MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAIPUF

पृष्ठ संख्या

Page No.

पंजिका संख्याः एफ-4/S-III-1/21-22-Acad-OS कार्यालय टिप्पणी Note Sheet

Academic Section

Subject:-Minutes of 34th SUGB Meeting held on November 29th, 2021 at 04:00 PM.

34<sup>th</sup> meeting of SUGB was held on November, 29<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 4:00 PM in old senate Hall, Ground floor, Prabha Bhawan, MNIT, Jaipur. The minutes were circulated amongst the members and no comments were received from the members.

The minutes of 34<sup>th</sup> SUGB meeting are put for kind perusal and approval.

(Anil Swarnkar) Convener, SUGB

Chairman, SUGB

Chairman Senate

M

## MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAIPUR

# MINUTES OF THE 34<sup>TH</sup> MEETING OF THE SUGB HELD ON 29<sup>th</sup> NOVEMBER, 2021

The 34<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SUGB was held on 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2021 at 4:00 PM in the Old Senate Hall, Prabha Bhawan.

The meeting was attended by the following members:

S.No.	Name	Department
1.	Prof. Ravindra Nagar	Chairman, SUGB
2.	Prof. Urmila Brighu	Dean, Academics
3.	Prof. Kanupriya Sachdev	Associate Dean (PG)
4.	Prof. G. D. Agarwal	Ex-Chairman SUGB, Department of Mechanical Engineering
5.	Prof. Vijay Laxmi	Associate Dean (Academic)
6.	Dr. Anil Swarnkar	Associate Dean (UG)
7.	Dr.Nivedita Kaul	Nominee, Chairperson Senate
8	Dr. Rajeev Dohare	Department of Chemical Engineering
9,	Dr. Reeta Singh	Department of Management Studies
1.0.	Dr. Vatsala Mathur	Department of Mathematics
11.	Dr. Sumit Khandelwal	Department of Civil Engineering
12.	Dr. Kavita Lalwani	Department of Physics
13.	Dr. Abbas Raja Naziruddin	Department of Chemistry
14.	Dr. Sreekumar Vadakke Madam	Department of Metallurgical & Materials Engineering
15.	Dr. Parul Mathuria	Centre for Energy & Environment
16.	Dr. Pooja Nigam	Department of Architecture and Planning

Following members couldn't attend the meeting:

S. No.	Name	Department
1.	Dr. Naveen Choudhary	Professor and Head, CSE, CTAE Udaipur (TEQIP Nominee)
2.	Dr. Ramesh Babu Batula	Department of Computer Science & Engineering
3.	Dr. Kusum Verma	Department of Electrical Engineering
4.	Dr. Vibhuti Singh Shekhawat	Department of Humanities and Social Science
5.	Dr. Nisha Verma	Material Research Centre
6.	Dr. Satyasai Jagannath Nanda	Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering



The following agenda items were discussed and the recommendations are as follows:

Item No. 34-1.0	T
Hem No. 34-1.0	To confirm the minutes of the 33 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of the SUGB held on July 20, 2021.
	The 33 <sup>rd</sup> SUGB meeting was held on July 20, 2021. The drafts minutes of the meeting were circulated to all the SUGB members and comments received were incorporated. Thereafter, the minutes were approved by the Chairman Senate.
	The SUGB confirmed the minutes of 33 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of SUGB.
Item No. 34-2.0	Items for Consideration.
Item No. 34-2.1	To consider the proposal of Department of Mathematics to approve the revision of the Syllabi/Curriculum
	The SUGB deliberated upon the issue and it was decided to approve the revision in syllabi/curriculum as per the proposal submitted by the <b>Department of Mathematics</b> .
Item No. 34-2.2	To consider the proposal of Department of Chemistry to approve the revision of the Syllabi/Curriculum
	The SUGB deliberated upon the issue and it was decided to approve the revision in syllabi/curriculum as per the proposal submitted by the <b>Department of Chemistry</b> .
Item No. 34-2.3	To consider the termination of three UG students who have reached their maximum limit of duration for completing the B. Tech. degree at MNIT Jaipur.
	The SUGB deliberated upon the issue and the agenda item was discussed in light of the guidelines prescribed by the National Education Policy. As the committees are already working upon the implementation of National Education Policy in the institute and it is expected to be implemented soon.
	Looking to the fact that all of these students require very few credits to complete the degree and considering the hardships faced by the students due to COVID-19.
	The SUGB decided to defer the agenda and to consider it later on the basis of guidelines of National Education Policy.
Item No. 34-2.4	To consider the list of UG students with inactive status.
	The SUGB deliberated upon the issue and decided that the Concerned Department's and Academic Section will try to contact such students and based on the responses of the students the matter may be discussed in the next meeting.
Item No. 34-3.0	Reporting Items
Item No. 34-3.1	To report the list of UG students permitted for internship work in other institute.  Noted and Ratified.

Chairman SUGB

Convener SUGB

27

# MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAIPUR Minutes of 22<sup>nd</sup> Academic Affairs Committee

The 22<sup>nd</sup> meeting of Academic Affairs Committee was held on Oct. 20, 2021 at 11.30 AM in the Committee Room near the Office of Registrar for discussing the various issues.

- 1. Prof, Ravindra Nagar(Chairman SUGB)
- 2. Prof. Rakesh Jain (Chairman SPGB)
- 3. Prof. Urmila Brighu (Dean Academic)
- 4. Prof. Kanupriya Sachdev (Associate Dean PG),
- 5. Prof. Anil Swarnkar (Associate Dean UG),
- 6. Dr. Reetu Singh (Deputy Registrar Academic)

Items No.	Particular
22-1-0	To consider the issue regarding submission of documents by the students admitted through CCMT/CCMN etc 2020-21 & 2021-22  The committee deliberated the issue and decided that in view of delay in final exams in various institute due to Covid related issues the last date for submission of documents for the academic year 2020-21 may be kept as 15 <sup>th</sup> Nov. 2021. An email informing them that they may not be allowed to appear in ETE of Illrd semester of the programme be sent at the earliest. For the academic year 2021-22 a email may be issued the all students who have not submitted documents, till now to do so till 31 <sup>st</sup> Dec. 2021.
22-2-0	To consider the request of Mr. Akash Bansal (2020PCE5140) and Ms. Chhavi Shaktawat (2020PCW5614) for one time opportunity of semester drop.  The recommendation of DPGC meeting held on 16-09-2021 to each of the cases are as follows:  "In view of COVID related issued and restrictions and on humanitarian ground, Mr. Akash Bansal(2020PCE5140) and Ms. Chhavi Shaktawat (2020PCW5614) one time opportunity of semester drop may be given so that their studies can continue in the institute."  After detailed deliberation on the matter, committee considered the request of students & recommendation of DPGC for semester drop (withdrawal) for above both students and decided to agree to the same.
22-3-0	To consider the request of Mr. Bhaskar Goyal (2021RMA9072) PhD scholar regarding permission to appear for B.Ed examination.  The student Mr. Bhaskar Goyal (2021RMA9072) PhD scholar has requested that he has completed his M.SC in 2018-2019 Session. Then he took admission in B.Ed in 2019-2020 Session. As B.Ed is 2 year course, the course should have completed within 2019-2021 session and B.Ed 2 <sup>nd</sup> year's exam was proposed on June-July 2021, and Internships should be completed generally by April 2021. But due to Covid-19 schools were closed, so his internship is allotted on 30 <sup>th</sup> July 2021 as the

internships duration is of 96 days. (84 Working days attendance is necessary) His Internship will be completed by 25<sup>th</sup> October 2021. Due to Covid the exam was not conducted on June July2021. The date of exams has not been declared yet, but by the sources of Kota University the date probably should be in October 2021. He requested to allow him to withdraw the PhD semester July 2021 and permit him to attend B.Ed exam as well during Ph.D. course.

The Request of student Mr. Bhaskar Goyal (2021RMA9072) PhD scholar is duly forwarded & recommended by Supervisor and DPGC convener for consideration.

Looking to the fact stated by the student in his request as above, Committee members decided to consider the request of Mr. Bhaskar Goyal (2021RMA9072) PhD scholar for registration in next semester, i.e. even semester 2021-22 instead of odd semester. This semester will be considered as null semester and the fees paid against this semester will be adjusted against the next semester.

22-4-0 To consider revision of grade from BC to BB in MEP706 for Nipun Singh (202 PDE5263)

Prof. T.C. Gupta requested to upgrade the grade from BC to BB in course MEP706 after re-assessment of the whole work submitted in the practical course.

The recommendation of DPGC meeting held on 05-10-2021 is as under:

"The DPGC thoroughly inquired and deliberated the issue with the students and course coordinator. The committee found that the component of file work submitted by the student in the practical course has remained un-assessed. This is a human error. Hence DPGC is satisfied with the version of the course coordinator for grade up-gradation. Therefore, the DPGC recommends revising the grade of student Nipun Singh (202PDE5263) in Course MEP706 from BC to BB."

The case of revision of grades from BC to BB in MEP706 for Nipun Singh (202 PDE5263) was discussed during the meeting and the recommendation of DPGC was accepted for revising the grade as mentioned above.

To consider to the matter of the grades of the student Mr. Kartik Sharma in the Open Elective Indian Constitution, democracy and World Affairs (HST 604).

The recommendation of DPGC meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> Oct.2021 is as under:

"The Committee members observed that the student is not at fault and should not suffer on account of the careless mistake of the Course Coordinator. Hence it is requested by DPGC, HSS to assign the grade AB to Mr. Kartik Sharma for HST 604. Prof. Vibhuti Singh Shekhawat has been advised to exercise caution in future so that such a mistake is not repeated".

The matter was discussed & the course coordinator was asked for show the exam copy of the concerned case. After verification & warning the grade was uploaded in ERP.

Umh

#### 22-6-0

To consider the matter of grant permission for re appearing for exam of the student Himanshu Nirwan (2020PCV5370).

The student Mr. Himanshu Nirwan (2020PCV5370) has requested for granting permission for re-appearing in exam. During the exam, he was suffering from fever & back pain & He was unaware about the rules of our Institute he failed in 4 subjects in 2<sup>nd</sup> semester.

As the PG regulations do not permit for re-appearing for exam, the request was turned down.

(Ravindra Nagar) Chairman SUGB

(Rakesh Jain) Chairman SPGB

(Urmila Brighu) Dean Academic

(Kanupriya Sachdev) **ADPG** 

(hil Swarnkar) **ADUG** 

(Reetu Singh) Deputy Registrar Academic

Reeter SIAgh

868 - Chaveman Sepate

3

# Annexure-G

List of PG Student who have low CGPA

S.No.	Status	Degree	Student Id	Student Name	Remarks
1	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PES5673	VARUN YUVRAJ	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.73
2.	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PEC5522	MAULI	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.64
3	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCS5702	KIRTI KAUSHIK	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.55
4	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCW5628	SAPNA SINGH	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.40
5	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCW5614	CHHAVI SHAKTAWAT	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.30
6	Inactive	M.Sc	2018PMA5347	MINTU MEENA	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.18
7	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PWC5571	SURAJ KUMAR AGGRAWAL	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.18
8	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCS5703	SUNIL CHOUDHARY	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.18
9	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCV5370	HIMANSHU NIRWAN	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.17
10	Inactive	M.Plan	2020PAR5482	PRADEEP KUMAR BAGRI	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 4.11
11	Inactive	M.Tech	2019PPD5117	RAJAT GUPTA	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.91
12	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCE5140	AKASH BANSAL	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.91
-13	Inactive	M.Tech	2019PPD5051	YASH BHARDWAJ	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.89
14	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCE5138	AKSHAY PATHAK	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.82
5	Inactive	M.Tech	2019PCW5294	SITA RAM CHOUDHARY	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.82
16	Inactive	M.Plan	2020PAR5042	ANKIT KUMAR	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.79
17	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PEQ5458	RUPESH KUMAR MANDIYA	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.77
18	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PES5671	LOKESH MEENA	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.73
19	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCW5621	KUNAL PATHAK	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.70
20	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PIS5674	MEENALY YADAV	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.67
21	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PPD5471	MAHESH KUMAR YADAV	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.66
22	Inactive	M.Sc	2018PMA5518	GOVIND BHARTI	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.64
23	Inactive	M.Plan	2020PAR5451	ABHISHEK VISHNOI	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.47
24	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCV5339	HANUMAN GURJAR	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 3.08
25	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PPE5249	MUKESH RANGI	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 2.83
26	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCS5414	RAVINDRA SINGH SHEKHAWAT	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 2.78
27	Inactive	M.Plan	2020PAR5472	SHAURYA KUMAR RAKESH	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 0.00
28	Inactive	M.Plan	2020PAR5481	SANDEEP VEDWAL	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 0.00
29	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PEC5487	SHIV SHANKAR TIWARI	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 0.00
30	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PPE5270	RUTULKUMAR VIKRAMBHAI PA	Inactive Duc to Low CGPA 0.00
31	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PSM5596	HITAKSHI GUPTA	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 0.00
32	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PEV5553	MANISH KUMAR MEENA	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 0.00
33	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCE5697	RISHABH GUPTA	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 0.00
34	Inactive	M.Tech	2020PCP5695	SHRUTI BAGEWADI	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 0.00
35	Inactive	M.Sc	2020PMA5027	RIYA	Inactive Due to Low CGPA 0.00

# ANNEXURE -E

	List	of students propose	ed for termination fro.	m Ph.D. program as per recomm (48th SPGB reporting item)	r recommendat ing item)	tion of DPGC c	List of students proposed for termination from Ph.D. program as per recommendation of DPGC of the concerned departments (48th SPGB reporting item)
S.No.	S.No. Student Id	Student Name	Department	Supervisor as per ERP	Date of last fees payment	Proposed for termination from PhD program as per PG RR	Remarks 1
,1	2018REN9053	NARESH KUMAR JANGIR	CENTRE FOR ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT	DR. ROHIT BHAKAR	4-Jan-20	8.3(2) & 5.6	As per PG RR 8.3 (2) Comprehensive not completed within stipulated time and PG RR 5.6 Absence without authorized leave
2	2012RCP9515	RAVINDRA KUMAR SAINI	COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	DR. MUSHTAQ AHMED	23-07-19	5.6 & 6.1 (i)	Completed maximum period 07 years in January 2020 and not submitted PhD Thesis
м	2013RCP9516	ANURAG SHARMA	COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	DR. MUSHTAQ AHMED	01-01-19	5.6	Not registered from the last 02 year & completed maximum period i.e. 07 years
4	2014RCP9035	GAJENDRA SINGH SHEKHAWAT	COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	DR. DINESH GOPALANI	27-09-20	5.6	Not registered from the last 06 month
ις	2014RCP9534	NIKHIL AGRAWAL	COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	DR. NEETA NAIN	31-12-15	5.6	Not registered from the last 5 years
9	2016RCP9034	GARIMA GARG	COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	DR. VIJAY LAXMI	01-01-18	5.6	Not registered from the last 03 years
7	2016RCP9542	PRANJAL RANJAN	COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	DR. RAMESH BABU BATTULA	27-09-20	5.6	Not registered from the last 06 months
∞	2018RCP9115	HARSH KISHORE MISHRA	COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	DR. MEENAKSHI TRIPATHI	22-09-20	5.6	Not registered from the last 06 months



### Table Agenda – 43<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of Senate

#### Table Agenda No. 43-5.1

: To consider the issue regarding waiving off the written test for entrance in Ph.D. programmes of the institute for those who have cleared the UGC NET examination (with scholarship).

The matter regarding waiving off the written test for entrance in Ph.D. programme of the institute for those who have cleared the UGC NET examination (with scholarship) was discussed in 23rd meeting of Academic Affairs Committee held on 6th December 2021.

The committee deliberated upon the issue and unanimously agreed that UGC NET examination is a national level examination and the requirement of written test for admission in Ph.D. programme in MNIT can be waived off for those who have cleared the NET examination (With scholarship).

Hence, in order to encourage the students who have cleared the NET examination (with scholarship) in Sciences, Humanities & Social Sciences and Management Studies to pursue Ph.D. at MNIT, Jaipur, The committee decided to waive off the requirement of Ph.D. written examination for those who have cleared the UGC NET examination (with scholarship). However, such candidates will be required to appear for the interview and if selected, they may be offered the Ph.D. programme at MNIT, Jaipur.

It is proposed that the same waiver should also be given to all candidates who have cleared UGC/CSIR examination or have Inspire Fellowship.

The list is placed before the Senate for its approval.